

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_107007
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact Assessment of Soil and Water Conservation Measures at Gharat Watershed in Pindwara, Sirohi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>- The manuscript is especially important for impact assessment of soil and water conservation practices to determine its effectiveness.</p> <p>- I see the title changed to: Impact Assessment of Soil and Water Conservation practices at Gharat Watershed in Pindwara, Sirohi.</p> <p>- The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>- Subsections and manuscript structure are appropriate</p> <p>- The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>- There are only 8 references among them, and the remaining 23 references are not found in the search The eight references included are: Sharma <i>et al.</i>, 2015, Salvati <i>et al.</i>, 2021, Gautam <i>et al.</i>, 2020, Lark <i>et al.</i>, 2002, Walkley and Black, Subbaiah and Asija 1956, Ifenna and Osuji, 2013 and Lark <i>et al.</i>, 2002.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adil Abd Elsamia Meselhy
Department, University & Country	Desert Research Center, Egypt