

Original Research Article

Non-chemical tea pest management practices adopted by small tea growers of Dibrugarh & Tinsukia district of ~~Assam~~Assam.

ABSTRACT

The main objective of the present study was to meticulously document the non-chemical approaches to tea pest management employed by small tea growers in the Dibrugarh and Tinsukia districts of Assam. In response to the constraints imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the research team resorted to telephonic interviews, utilizing a well-structured questionnaire to gather information from these tea growers. Through this method, the study successfully captured and documented the specific practices, materials, techniques, and methods utilized by these growers in their ongoing battle against tea pests. The study brought to light a diverse array of non-chemical methods that have been embraced by tea growers in this region. These practices, deeply rooted in traditional knowledge, demonstrated their remarkable effectiveness in pest management. What makes these findings particularly significant is the potential they hold for future scientific investigations. These traditional techniques, when subjected to rigorous validation and refinement, could find broader application in large-scale tea pest management initiatives, offering a ~~more sustainable and eco-friendly~~more sustainable and eco-friendlier alternative to conventional chemical methods. A noteworthy aspect of these non-chemical practices is the sourcing of ingredients. These materials were found to be locally available and abundant, derived from both plant and animal origins. This accessibility not only ensures the practicality of these methods but also highlights their compatibility with the local ecosystem. Importantly, these traditional practices were identified as crucial tools in combating infestations by various pests, including the red spider mite (*Oligonychus coffeae*), tea mosquito bug (*Helopeltis theivora*), and looper caterpillar (*Buzura suppresseria*). In conclusion, this study focused on the valuable task of gathering insights into the non-chemical pest management methods practiced by small tea growers in the Dibrugarh and Tinsukia districts of Assam. Despite the adversities posed by the pandemic, the telephonic interviews proved to be a robust means of collecting invaluable information about these practices. The study not only underscored the efficacy of these traditional methods but also emphasized their potential for further scientific exploration and subsequent integration into broader tea pest management strategies. This presents a promising and environmentally friendly path forward for the tea industry in this region and beyond.

Keywords: Non-chemical, tea, pests, traditional knowledge

1. INTRODUCTION

The cultivation of tea, one of the world's most consumed beverages, plays a pivotal role in the global economy and agricultural landscape. However, the tea industry faces a persistent challenge in the form of pests

that can jeopardize the quality and yield of tea plantations. Traditional approaches to pest management have often relied heavily on chemical pesticides, which have proven effective but also brought about a range of unintended consequences. The overreliance on chemical pesticides has sparked

concerns about their adverse effects on both the environment and human health. The indiscriminate use of these chemicals can lead to pesticide residues on tea leaves, thereby impacting the health-conscious consumer's preference for pesticide-free products. Moreover, the emergence of pesticide-resistant pest strains poses a significant threat to the sustainability of pest management strategies based solely on chemical interventions. Recognizing the need for a more balanced and sustainable approach, researchers and tea growers are turning their attention to non-chemical methods of pest management. These methods encompass a diverse range of practices, each with its unique set of advantages. By harnessing natural processes, such as biological control, plant resistance mechanisms, and cultural practices, non-chemical strategies offer an environmentally friendly and effective alternative to traditional chemical approaches. This study delves into the world of non-chemical methods for tea pest management, aiming to shed light on their efficacy, practicality, and potential for widespread adoption. By investigating these approaches, we gain insights into their ability to mitigate the negative impacts of chemical pesticide usage while maintaining or even enhancing tea plantation productivity. This research contributes to the broader dialogue surrounding sustainable agriculture, exploring methods that strike a balance between pest control and environmental preservation. As the world grapples with the imperative to reduce the environmental footprint of agricultural practices, the exploration of non-chemical pest management strategies takes on greater significance. Through a deeper understanding of these methods, we aim to

pave the way for a more resilient and ecologically sound tea industry that meets the demands of both consumers and the environment.

Recently in Assam, these traditional plant protection practices have been adopted by many small tea growers in different pockets of the state (Saikia *et al.*, 2008). Given the scarcity of accessible information concerning such practices, the current study addresses a notable gap. Considering the aforementioned circumstances, the present research endeavours to examine "~~Non-chemical~~non-chemical tea pest management practices adopted by small tea growers of Dibrugarh and Tinsukia districts of Assam." The primary aim of this investigation is to gather insights into the non-chemical techniques employed by small tea growers in the Assam region.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study was conducted during 2019-2021 in Dibrugarh and Tinsukia district of Assam. A selection of tea growers from each district was chosen to participate in the data collection process concerning non-chemical practices employed for tea pest management. The methods encompassing materials, techniques, and procedures were meticulously recorded in accordance with the interview schedule. The findings from these observations have been comprehensively outlined in Table 1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of ~~20~~twenty tea growers were specifically chosen for participation in interviews aimed at gathering information about their utilization of traditional or non-chemical methods for insect and pest

management, as detailed in Table 1. The intricacies of these practices, encompassing materials, techniques, and methods, were meticulously recorded following the provided interview framework. These growers were actively employing these time-honoured practices to effectively mitigate the presence of tea pests such as the red spider mite (*Oligonychus coffeae*), tea mosquito bug (*Helopeltis theivora*), and looper caterpillar (*Buzura suppresseria*). Abundant local resources were utilized as ingredients in these practices. Some potential plants useful for the pest management were Ghora neem (*Melia azedarach*), Karanj (*Pongamia glabra*), ~~—~~ Pothorua,

Pothorua bihlongoni (*Polygonum hydropiper*), bhoot jolokia (*Capsicum chinense*), Agora (*Xanthium strumarium*), Water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), Dhopat tita (*Clerodendrum infortunatum*), Citronella (*Cymbopogon nardus*) as shown in Table 2. It was found that 45% of the small tea growers used cow urine with *Melia azedarach*, *Pongamia glabra* and *Polygonum hydropiper* combinations for management of red spider mite. Other materials were used in small quantities. Overall control of tea pest prepared from the indigenous products was 60-80%. Similar studies were conducted by many workers (Saikia *et al.*, 2008 and Bhuyan *et al.*, 2016).

Table 1. Non-chemical methods used by small tea growers.

Target pest	Materials used	Practice/preparation/method of application	Farmer's Observation	Location
1. Red spider mite (<i>Oligonychus coffeae</i>), Looper caterpillar (<i>Buzura suppresseria</i>)	a) Bhoot jolokia-0.5 kg and Cow urine-20L b) Neem-15kg Pothorua bihlongoni - 10kg .kg , Baam bihlongoni -10kg and Water-50L	a) Bhoot jolokias are crushed, mixed with cow urine urine, and kept for 7 days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 5L in 100L of water at 30days interval. b) Neem, Pothorua bihlongoni and Baam bihlongini are crushed and mixed with water and kept for 10days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes	Satisfactory control	Dibrugarh

		at 10L in 100L of water at an interval of 10 days.		
2. Red spider mite(<i>Oligonychus coffee</i>)	Sour Curd- 4kg Wheat flour- 8kg Soapy Water-50L	10L of lassi made from sour curd, 10L of wheat flour and water solution then mixed with soapy water and kept for 6 hours. The solution was sprayed on infested bushes at 20L in 100L of water through 200L power machine at 3 months of interval.	Satisfactory control	Dibrugarh
3. Red spider mite(<i>Oligonychus coffee</i>)	Cowdung-20kg 20L- Cow urine 2kg-Oil cake Gur-1kg Karanj-10kg Weed- 5kg 5kg. Water- 20L	Karanj leaves are crushed and mixed with cow dung, cow urine, oil cake, gur, weed, water and kept for 20 days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 10L in 200L of water at 1 month interval	Satisfactory control	Dibrugarh
4. Red spider mite(<i>Oligonychus coffee</i>)	Bhoot Jolokia- 0.5kg Gur- 5kg Dhapaat tita- 20kg Water- 50L	Bhoot jolokia and dhapaat tita leaves are crushed and mixed with gur and water, kept water, kept them for 10days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 20L in 100L of water when required.	About 80%	Dibrugarh
5. Red spider mite(<i>Oligonychus coffee</i>)	Cow urine-10 L Cow dung- 10kg Neem- 10kg Dhopaat tita- 5kg Water-50L	Neem, Dhopaat tita are crushed and boiled in water. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 10L in 100L water at 21days interval.	Satisfactory control	Dibrugarh
6. Tea Mosquito Bug(<i>Helopeltis theivora</i>) 7. Red spider mite	Neem- 10kg Karanj- 10kg Aatlaas leaves- 5kg Dhopaat tita- 5kg	Neem, Karanj, Aatlaas leaves, Dhopaat tita are crushed and mixed with water, kept for 10 days. The solution is then filtered and	Satisfactory control	Dibrugarh

	<i>(Oligonychus coffee)</i>	Water-50L	sprayed on infested bushes at 10L in 100L water at 21days interval.		
8.	Tea Mosquito Bug <i>(Helopeltis theivora)</i>	Bhoot jolokia- 0.5kg Piyaz- 3kg Water- 90ml	Bhoot jolokia and onions are crushed and mixed with water are kept for 1 day. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 10L in 100L water at 7days interval.	About 70% control	Dibrugarh
9.	Tea Mosquito Bug <i>(Helopeltis theivora)</i>	Neem - 10kg Pothorua bihlongoni- 10kg Water hyacinth- 5kg Water- 50L	Neem, Pothorua bihlongoni and water hyacinth are crushed and soaked in water for 15 days. After that filtered and sprayed in the field at 20L in 100L of water. It was sprayed when required.	Satisfactory control	Dibrugarh
10.					
11.	Red spider mite <i>(Oligonychus coffee)</i>	Sebu guti- 1kg Cowdung- 10kg Water- 50L	Sebu gutis are crushed and soaked in water for 1 day, cow dung dip in water for 1 day after that mixed the <u>both</u> mixture and filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 10L in 100L of water at 20 dyas of interval.	About 50% control	Dibrugarh
12.	Looper caterpillar <i>(Buzura suppresseria)</i>	Dhopaat tita- 5kg Bahek tita- 5kg Water- 100L	Dhopat tita and bahek tita leaves ae crushed and dip in 100L water for 24 hours and filteres and sprayed in the field. <u>field.</u> It was sprayed when required.	About 70% control	Dibrugarh
13.	Looper caterpillar <i>(Buzura suppresseria)</i>	Neem - 10kg Pothorua bihlongoni- 5kg Water hyacinth- 5kg Water- 50L	Neem, Pothorua bihlongoni and water hyacinth are crushed and soaked in water for 7 days. After that filtered and sprayed in the field at 10L in 100L of water. It was sprayed when required.	70% control	Tinsukia
14.	Looper caterpillar	Ghora neem-5kg	Ghora neem, karanj, garlic,	Satisfactory	Tinsukia

(<i>Buzura suppresseria</i>)	Karanj- 5kg Garlic-1kg Onion-2kg Cow urine-15L Water-50L	onion are crushed and mixed with cow urine, water and kept in cool place for 10 days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 20L in 100L of water when required.	control	
15. Red spider mite (<i>Oligonychus coffee</i>)	Karanj- 10kg Cow dung- 5kg Cow Urine-20L Water-100L	Karanj leaves are erushed,soaked <u>crushed, soaked</u> in water for 1 day then mixed with cow dung, cow urine, water are is kept for 5-7 days then the solution is filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 20L in 100L water at 21 days interval.	About 60% control	Tinsukia
16. Red spider mite (<i>Oligonychus coffee</i>)	Bhoot jolokia- 0.5kg Gur-3kg Cow urine-20L	Bhoot jolokias are crushed, mixed with gur, cow urine and kept for 10 days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on <u>infested on</u> infested bushes at 5L in 100L of water at 30days interval	About 80% control	Tinsukia
17. All pests	Ghora neem-5kg Karanj- 5kg Garlic-1kg Cintronella Grass- 2kg Cow urine-15L Water-50L	Ghora neem, karanj <u>neem, karanj</u> , garlic, cintronella are crushed and mixed with cow urine, water and kept in cool place for 7-10 days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 20L in 100L of water at 30 days interval	About 80% control	Tinsukia
18. All pests	Ghora neem-5kg Fish waste- 2kg Cow dung-3kg Water-15L	Leaves of ghora neem are crushed and boiled, mixed with cow dung and fish waste overnight.Next day the mixture is sprayed to the affected area @250ml/15L water. Sprayed at 15days interval.	About 50% control	Tinsukia

19. All pests	Neem - 10kg Pothorua bihlongoni- 5kg Water hyacinth- 5kg Water- 50L	Neem, Pothorua bihlongoni and water hyacinth are crushed and soaked in water for 7 days. After that filtered and sprayed in the field at 10L in 100L of water. It was sprayed when required.	About 80% control	Tinsukia
20. All pests	Ghora neem-5kg Karanj- 5kg Garlic-1kg Onion-2kg Cow urine-15L Water-50L	Ghora neem, karanj, garlic, onion are crushed and mixed with cow urine, water and kept in cool place for 10 days. The solution is then filtered and sprayed on infested bushes at 20L in 100L of water when required.	Satisfactory control	Tinsukia

Table 2: Some potential plants useful for tea pest management

Scientific Name	Common name	Local name	Parts used
<i>Pongamia pinnata</i>	Pongum	Karanj	Leaves
<i>Melia azadirac</i>	Neem	Ghora neem	Leaves
<i>Polygonum hydropiper</i>	Knot weed	Pothorua bihlongani	Aerial parts
<i>Adhatoda vasica</i>	Basaka	Teeta bahek	Leaves and succulent stems
<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	Neem	Mahaneem	Leaves and seeds
<i>Allium sativum</i>	Garlic	Nohoru	Whole plant
<i>Capsicum annum</i>	Chilli pepper	Jolokia	Fruits
<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	Water hyacinth	Meteka	Whole plant
<i>Clerodendrum viscosum</i>	Clerodendrun	Dhopat teeta	Leaves and succulent leaves
<i>Capsicum chinensis</i>	Ghost pepper	Bhut jolokia	Fruits
<i>Nicotinna tabacum</i>	Tabacco	Dhopat	Dried Leaves
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i>	Amla	Amlokhi	Leaves and fruits
<i>Musa acuminata</i>	Banana	Kol	Pseudostem
<i>Ocimum tenuiflorum</i>	Tulsi	Tulasi	Leaves

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the current investigation, it is evident that the preparation methods employed in traditional practices vary across different regions and necessitate validation and standardization. Given the relatively small-scale nature of these

plantations, growers have been able to effectively address pest management by embracing these techniques. Once these practices are standardized, they have the potential to significantly benefit the small tea grower segment of the tea industry,

facilitating the production of organic tea and thereby contributing positively to the

future of the tea industry.

REFERENCES

1. Bhuyan KK, Saikia GK, Deka MK, Phukan B, Barua SC. Evaluation of [indigenous](#) biopesticides against Red Spider Mite, *Oligonychus coffeae* (Nietner) in tea. Mortality. [2017;10:100](#); [10:100](#).
2. Das P, Hazarika LK, Kalita S. *Leucas lavandulifolia* Smith (Labiatae), a Botanical for Tea Red Spider Mite, *Oligonychus coffeae* Nietner (Acarina: Tetranychidae) Management. *Pesticide Research Journal*. 2012;27(1):41- 46.
3. Deka MK, Bhuyan M, Hazarika LK. Traditional pest management practices of Assam. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*. Department of Entomology, A.A.U, Jorhat, Assam. 2006; 5(1):75-78.
4. Gupta SK. A Conspectus of Natural Enemies of Phytophagous Mites and Mites as Potential Biocontrol Agents of Agricultural Pests. In *Acarology: proceedings of the 10th [international congress](#)*. [International Congress](#). Csiro publishing. 2001, 484.
5. Hazarika LK, Barua NC, Kalita S, Gogoi N. In search of green pesticides for tea pest management: *Phlogocanthus thyrsoiflorus* experience. In: *Recent Trends in Insect Pest Management*, ed. S. Ignacimuthu, S. Jayraj. New Delhi, Elite Publication, 2008, 79-90, 277.
6. Isman MB. Botanical insecticides, deterrents and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annual Review of Entomology* 2006; 51:45-66.
7. Mahmood I, Saxena SK, Zakiuddin M. Effect of certain plant extracts on the mortality of *Rotylenchulus reniformis* and *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*. 1984; 4(2):154-157.
8. Majumder D, Deka SN, Pujari, Das PK. Traditional knowledge adopted by the farmers for management of rice pests in North bank plain zone of Assam. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*. 2013; 12(4):725-729.
9. Saikia GK, Bhuyan RP, Deka A, Baruah S, Neog RC, Dutta MRS. Traditional practices adopted by the small growers of Assam for Tea pest management. *Asian Agricultural History*. 2008; 12(3):231-238.