

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107117
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-chemical tea pest management practices adopted by small tea growers of Dibrugarh & Tinsukia district of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the crucial issue of non-chemical pest management in the tea industry. It provides valuable insights into traditional practices that can offer more sustainable and eco-friendly alternatives to conventional chemical methods, which is of great significance in the context of environmental and human health concerns.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the research, which is on non-chemical pest management practices among small tea growers in specific districts of Assam.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear and concise summary of the research objectives, methods, findings, and implications, allowing readers to quickly grasp the essence of the study.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate. The introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion, and conclusion sections follow a logical flow. However, it might be helpful to have a separate section for "Materials and Methods" to enhance readability.</p> <p>5. Based on the information provided in the manuscript, it appears scientifically correct. The research methods and findings are presented clearly, and the discussion is supported by the data presented. However, a more detailed discussion of limitations and potential areas for future research could further strengthen the scientific rigor of the manuscript.</p> <p>7. The references provided in the manuscript seem relevant and appropriate for the research. However, it's essential to ensure that the most recent and pertinent literature is included. Depending on the specific focus of the study, additional references related to non-chemical pest management in tea cultivation and the environmental impact of conventional pesticides could be considered for inclusion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript is written in clear and well-structured English, which is essential for effective scholarly communication. The language used is appropriate for academic and scientific writing, ensuring that the content is easily understandable and accessible to a broad audience within the scientific community.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides a valuable exploration of non-chemical pest management strategies in tea cultivation, particularly focusing on small tea growers in specific districts of Assam. It's an important contribution to the field, emphasizing sustainability, eco-friendliness, and the potential for broader adoption of traditional practices.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is logical and flows well, guiding the reader through the introduction, methodology, results, and discussion. However, a more explicit and detailed explanation of the research methodology would enhance the transparency and replicability of the study.</p> <p>In the results section, the inclusion of a concise summary or analysis of the findings presented in Table 1 could assist readers in quickly grasping the key takeaways from the data.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Moreover, while the references are relevant and appropriate, ensuring that they are consistently formatted according to a specific citation style (e.g., APA, MLA, etc.) would enhance the overall professional appearance of the manuscript.</p> <p>Overall, this article offers valuable insights into sustainable pest management practices for tea cultivation, catering to a significant need for eco-friendly alternatives in the agricultural sector. With some minor adjustments, it could make a substantial contribution to the scientific community and the tea industry.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Abbas Ali
Department, University & Country	South Valey University, Egypt