

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_107116</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Integrated nutrient management on yield attributing parameters of kharif onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><i>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</i></p> <p><i>The title of the article is suitable..</i></p> <p><i>Revise the abstract to conform with the standard format presentation.</i></p> <p><i>Include a sub-section on (i) <b>Objectives of the Study</b> (ii) <b>Experimental Design and Methodology</b> to form with the objectives of the study, (iii) <b>Summary Discussion of Results</b> (iv) <b>Recommendations</b></i></p> <p><i>The manuscript should be revised to establish coherent and consistency between and among set of objectives, experimental design and methodology, and with that of the results.</i></p> <p><i>Insufficient references, should provide additional literature of recent publication to support the current study.</i></p> <p><i>Consider major comments provided as sidenotes in the manuscript.</i></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The use of English language is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Renato L. Lapitan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Renewable Natural Resources, University of the Philippines Los Banos, Philippines</b>