

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106979
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF TILLAGE AND NITROGEN MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF RABI MAIZE (Zea mays L.).
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. . The cultivation of corn, a very important cereal for food in Peru, depends on many agroclimatic variables. But in this case the growth and yield of corn is a function of the factors of tillage and nitrogen levels. The study is very interesting because more growth and yield of corn can be achieved if the methods used in tillage are efficient, and the productivity (product-inputs) is high.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate, because we want to measure the impact of tillage factors and N levels.</p> <p>3. The summary is understandable.</p> <p>4. The structure is appropriate</p> <p>5. The study has its scientific level</p> <p>6. The bibliographic reference is sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English language is correct.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eusebio Benique Olivera
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional del Altiplano, Peru