

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_106915
Title of the Manuscript:	Some Common Fuzzy Fixed Point Theorems on G - Metric Space
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This study has an acceptable innovation in terms of investigating the fuzzy equivalents of G-metric spaces. But it's not very important either. Yes. Yes. There are some shortcomings. For example, fuzzy metric space definitions, as a preliminary information, were not given anywhere in the study. According to me, the manuscript is scientifically correct. Yes, the references are sufficient. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>It is sufficient.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction section necessitates careful organization into distinct paragraphs, each centered around a specific main idea or topic. These paragraphs should encompass elements such as a literature review, examination of related works, identification of gaps in the existing literature, elucidation of the motivations underlying the present study, and a succinct overview of the paper's contents and sections. Specifically, there are some deficiency in the paper. Such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of cohesion: The introduction lacks smooth transitions and clear connections between sentences and paragraphs, resulting in a fragmented structure. The introduction contains unnecessary repetitions, excessive elaboration, and verbose language, leading to a lengthy and convoluted passage. Inconsistent citation style: The citations are inconsistently presented, with variations in formatting and punctuation. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehmet Şengönül
Department, University & Country	Adiyaman University, Turkey