

Editor's Comment:

The manuscript was poorly written. A revision of the English language is necessary by a professional language editing service. I think that this manuscript should be modified for the English language to deserve publication. The most problematic point of this paper is the lack of readability. Some symbols are used without their definitions. Discussion is appropriate, but a more precise direction of future research should add more value.

Page 1: Title: Add "The": "The haematology and serum biochemistry of traditional textile dyers in Ntonso, Kumasi were affected by exposure to synthetic textile dyes".

Page 3: Introduction: The last paragraph in the "Introduction" section should illustrate the aim of the present work according to the scientific regulations.

Page 3 & 4: In the "Materials & Methods" section the author(s) should mention the liver and kidney function tests supported by their corresponding references. More information should be added to this section to justify the conditions in which this work was made.

Page 5: Table 2: No indication about the haematology parameter P-LCR in Table 2. Revise precisely the RDW-CV% levels of control and test with the data reported in the text.

Page 8-10: Include the author(s) name at the beginning of a sentence followed by the number of the corresponding reference between brackets. For example; Satarug et al., [14] established that..... .

Editor decision: Moderate revision.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Ali Mohamed Elshafei Ali
Professor, National Research Centre, Egypt.