

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_106312
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Associated With Increased Cases Of Brucellosis Among Patients Aged 18 Years And Above At Kampala International University Teaching Hospital, Bushenyi, District
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>-The Material and Methods section should include the map of location of study zone.</p> <p>-The Material and Methods section should also include date or period of the study.</p> <p>- Specify statistics software used for statistical analyses and its version.</p> <p>- The abstract of your article is not legible, please correct that.</p> <p>- In "Results" section, add sources for all tables and corrected them (see manuscript).</p> <p>- The Discussion section is not well written; Please reformulate it.</p> <p>- The Conclusion section is not well written; Please reformulate it.</p> <p>-In References section, bibliography is poor and low. Add others references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	A lot of grammatical errors. Authors should read the manuscript again to correct them.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Authors did not comment on the general weaknesses of their study. For example, the number of participants is too low contrary to other studies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aubin Armel Koumba
Department, University & Country	Institute of Research in Tropical Ecology, Gabon