

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107147
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF GROUNDWATER BEHAVIOUR IN THE TONS PUMP CANAL COMMAND AREA OF KARCHHANA TEHSIL, PRAYAGARAJ
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, because this will reveal a lot concerning the need for more water availability for food production especially safe water for the increasing population not ignoring the scarcity of safe water</p> <p>No but better as 'Assessment of Groundwater Trend of Groundwater in the Tons Pump Canal Command Area of Karchhana Tehsil, Prayagaraj '</p> <p>NO</p> <p>yes</p> <p>YES BUT NEED SERIOUS REVISION</p> <p>NO: There is ardent need to discuss the result findings. This will generate more references</p> <p>This work need to be given to language experts to improve on the grammar and choice of diction</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes but must be revised by good English experts	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The tables in this work and references should adhere to the APA referencing format and style. There was no discussion of findings in this work but merely result presentation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	William Iyama
Department, University & Country	Institute of Geosciences and Environmental Management, Rivers State University, Nigeria