

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_106760
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of ameliorative effects of Phyllanthus amarus and Senna alata in acetaminophen-induced toxicity in rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Maybe. The study was designed to evaluate the ameliorative effects of the herbs but how the study was done is in questions. How did the researchers dry the herb leaves while they protected the leaves from heat and sunlight? Are the results reliable since the blood chemicals were determined by non-sensitive, low specificity and obsolete methods as in-text cited? What are the Sylimarin? 2. Should specify "hepato- and nephrotoxicity" 3. No. The background is too long and the methods is unclear due to some unknown abbreviations. 4. Yes. Anyway, the results of blood chemicals could be concisely presented in a single table with the p-vales of each chemical from different groups. The graphics in result section should be changed to an easily understandable table. 5. No. 6. No. Actually, some are missing. Based on the 2.5 Biochemical Analysis, the analytical methods used in this study must be referred and listed in the reference list. These are really old. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	No	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veeravan Lekskulchai
Department, University & Country	Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand