

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_106659
Title of the Manuscript:	Registry of Ischemic Heart Disease Patients In The Region of Mid Delta, Egypt Data Base and Quality Initiative Project
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Strengths: The study provides a comprehensive overview of the IHD patients in the Mid Delta region, which can be valuable for healthcare providers and policymakers in that area.</p> <p>Areas for Improvement: Further analysis on the link between the risk factors (like hypertension and smoking) and the severity of the disease would be insightful. It would be beneficial to delve deeper into treatment outcomes or management strategies employed for these patients. Including age-related data might give more insights into which age groups are most affected.</p> <p>Overall: The research offers essential insights into the characteristics of ischemic heart disease patients in the Mid Delta region. The methodology appears sound, and the results are clearly presented. To further enhance the study's impact, a discussion section analyzing these findings in the broader context of global or regional trends could be invaluable. It would be advantageous to address potential limitations and ensure the clarity of group categorization in future iterations.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

[Review Form 1.7](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anghel Larisa
Department, University & Country	„Grigore T. Popa” University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania