

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_106335
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare Case of Myocarditis Causing Interventricular Communication and Acute Heart Failure
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Substantial Work is required for getting acceptance in any Journal. Discussion that you have shown in this article is already there.</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3. No. Abstract need to be redefined.</p> <p>4. No.</p> <p>5. Correct. But Substantial Work is required.</p> <p>6. No. A better more guided review of the literature is needed, after including recent References</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Authors need to find a native speaker of English to review it</p> <p>2. No standard keywords. Refer IEEE taxonomy 2023.</p> <p>3. Introduction and Literature need to be compressed. It should be in crisp manner.</p> <p>4. Motivation can be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Inference from this paper is not clear.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Palanivel Rajan
Department, University & Country	Sri Eshwar College of Engineering, India