

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_106679
Title of the Manuscript:	Norm-Attainable Operators and Polynomials: Theory, Characterization, and Applications in Optimization and Functional Analysis
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, in this paper is offered a unified perspective for functional analysis, operator theory, and optimization.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. With the references is a problem, in my opinion. None of the 8 references is on the arXiv link ... And here I mean both the authors and the title of the respective paper ... For example, reference</p> <p>1. Marius Junge and Quanshui Li. "Norm-Attainable Operators and Optimization". arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.03605 (2018). [arXiv](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.03605).</p> <p>from the paper is Ilkka Törmä, Cantor-Bendixson ranks of countable SFTs ...</p> <p>there is a problem with the bibliography.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	After the author/authors clarify what happens with the references, the paper can be published. I have no other comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<u>No</u> , if the problem of references is clarified	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patriciu Alina-Mihaela
Department, University & Country	Lower Danube University in Galati, Romania