

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_106688
Title of the Manuscript:	Insecticidal property of parthenium hysterophours and vitex nirgundi leaf extract (acetone) against sitophilus orzyae(rice weevil){ coleptera , cruculionidae} in stored grain pest.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Since it is an alternative pest control, contributing the environment and society.</p> <p>2. Yes. But you could leave it this way: Insecticidal property of parthenium hysterophours and vitex nirgundi leaf extract (acetone) against <i>Sitophilus orzyae</i> (rice weevil) (Coleptera, Cruculionidae).</p> <p>3. It is comprehensive, highlighted the main topics of the article; drawing the reader's attention to the main subject.</p> <p>4. Are appropriate, present title; introduction; materials and methods; results and conclusion. However, the result can be complemented with more discussion about the results.</p> <p>5. Yes, however, I could complement it more with a discussion of the the results; making comparisons with other similar results already existing in the literature.</p> <p>6. The references are a little old, but they correctly address the subject covered in the text. The quantity could improve, try to search more for more recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. It is adequate, you can understand what the author wants to say.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the introduction, it is worth complementing more with the development habits and biology of the insect.</p> <p>Materials and methods can be more detailed and better present the outline of the work.</p> <p>In the discussion add relevant data to yours and discuss further.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jéssica Aparecida de Oliveira Muniz
Department, University & Country	Julio Mesquita Filho State University of Paulista, Brazil