

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_105818
Title of the Manuscript:	The vicious cycle of BRASH syndrome: A case report and a brief review of literature
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors reported a case study of a male diagnosed with the symptoms of BRASH syndrome and further suggest the awareness of this syndrome and diagnostic challenges associated with this syndrome would help clinicians to respond promptly for better patient outcomes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, result sections could be little more elaborative and includes more information's about the outcomes after the treatment.</p> <p>The findings of this case study appear insightful to the field. Yes</p> <p>Major comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author list is missing. 2. Authors have not included any supporting evidences regarding the admission EKG or discharge EKG, confirming the case presentation described here. This is highly warranted to follow and confirm the case report discussed in this manuscript. 3. It would be ideal to include a table containing the admission and discharge blood report to follow each parameter mentioned in the text? 4. There is a pressing need to extensively revise the linguistic quality and the structure of the manuscript to ensure a coherent and impactful narrative. For instance, was he discharged with home medications, if so, what was prescribed? When was he presented again and what was the outcome of the follow-up visit? Etc. please describe at the end of the result section. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is a pressing need to extensively revise the linguistic quality.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Include the reference about Dr. Josh Farkas coining the name "BRASH".</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gopinath Venugopal
Department, University & Country	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, USA