

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_106928
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatio-temporal Analysis of Irrigation Development in Southwestern Haryana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Needless to say, the current paper is essential for scientific community. Authors provide with a good description of the study area and give necessary explanations, as well as explain reasons for providing such analysis in the area of interest.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I found the title to be suitable and explainable.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract includes all necessary parts such as topic, purpose, scope, and results. I made some corrections (please see the track changes in WORD manuscript).	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, manuscript consists of introduction, description of the study area, materials and methods, results and conclusions.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes, as all statements authors made are supported by the statistically proved analyses.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	From my point of view, the number of references is more that enough. Manuscript contains recent references as well.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	I found graphs and especially maps to be very good and professionally constructed.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think that English should be approved. It concerns both vocabulary and grammar. Unfortunately, I am not a native speaker. In some places I made little corrections, but the article needs for general improvements in terms of English. I found many sentences to be "very simple" to be written in the scientific paper.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is of big scientific importance for the study area. It goes without saying that current manuscript will provide necessary knowledge for further research not only from the scientific, but also from engineering point of view.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryna Batur
Department, University & Country	Istanbul Technical University, Turkey