

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_106280
Title of the Manuscript:	Social mapping of fish farming communities in Cikancung Village, Cikancung District, Bandung Regency
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The article is important for the emerging agricultural sector. The aquaculture farming is an area that requires commercialization for Small, medium and micro enterprises (SMME).</p> <p>No. Suggestion "Social characteristics of fish farming communities in Cikancung Village, Cikancung District, Bandung Regency".</p> <p>No. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. Recommendation is not included.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. The article should be edited by professional language editor.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The contribution to knowledge is not discussed. How is this study different from other researchers work? There should be a section discussing other research done that is similar to this research and how this study differs, or the gaps not addressed in those studies? Researcher interviewed 30 respondents, saturation with 30 respondents is not indicated. There should be a sentence indicating Ethical Clearance Certificate Number. Practical implications, recommendations, future research directions are not discussed. Researcher should proofread the work. References not in alphabetical order and many references cited in the texts not listed in the reference list. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Master Maapae Maebane
Department, University & Country	University of South Africa, South Africa