

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_106084
Title of the Manuscript:	Outcome of Primary Angioplasty as Compared with Thrombolytic Therapy for Acute Myocardial Infarction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Dear author please Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Determine which group A and B belong to and write it in the working method section. <p>method:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considering the retrospective nature of the study and the lack of access to the patients to obtain their consent to participate in the study, how did you reach them? 2. Write the statistical analysis as a completely separate section and specify what statistical software you used? 3. And the normality of the data has been checked or not? 4. Has the normality of the variables been checked? <p>findings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The report of findings should be written either in the text or in the table. Avoid mentioning the same explanation in both places. <p>At the end of the article, add contrast and acknowledgment sections.</p> <p>Reference: The cited references are old while there are many similar articles from 2019 onwards. Please use them in writing your study discussion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Motahareh Ghodrati
Department, University & Country	Qom University of Medical Sciences, Iran