

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_106084
Title of the Manuscript:	Outcome of Primary Angioplasty as Compared with Thrombolytic Therapy for Acute Myocardial Infarction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1] I think the manuscript is very important for scientific community, which will increase research base for these Condition and advancement in treatment.</p> <p>2] I find title of the article very suitable.</p> <p>3] The abstract of the article is Slightly long in the results Section but Overall Comprehensive.</p> <p>4] Subsections and structure of the manuscript are little less with no Paragraphs.</p> <p>5] In My Opinion the manuscript is scientifically correct but as mentioned in the Limitations of the Article the long-term outcomes and follow-up data are lacking.</p> <p>6] The References are sufficient and are recent, However I would suggest the Below article as further reference. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction, Michael Cucherat and Guillaume G Tremereau.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laxmikant Chavan
Department, University & Country	AIMST University, Malaysia