

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_106803
Title of the Manuscript:	Decline in the cultivation of Minor Millets in Tamil Nadu: A Time-Series Analysis of Cultivation Area, Production, and Productivity (1952-53 to 2021-22)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The author/the authors should write concisely, summarize, and supplement key policy proposals. The sections should be interconnected.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the policy suggestion should be included in the conclusion or research findings.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This paper is required compulsory revision comments.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Thi Dung
Department, University & Country	Bac Giang Agriculture and Forestry University, Vietnam