

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_106357
Title of the Manuscript:	STANDARDIZATION OF GOWTHAMAR CHOORANAM – A SIDDHA POLYHERBAL FORMULATION FOR THE TREATMENT OF SWASAKASAM (BRONCHIAL ASTHMA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, this research article has some importance to the biomedical community as it tries to standardize and investigate a herbal formulation used for asthma treatment.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title looks suitable but I recommend to remove the word "SWASAKASAM".</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract seems comprehensive but I recommend to merge the section of study place with methods section. Also, it is recommended to rewrite the conclusion section of abstract in a way that reflects main findings of study.</p> <p>4- Yes, the article is structured in appropriate way.</p> <p>5- Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- Yes, the used references are recent but many methods in materials and methods section were left with no citation. Also, many sentences in results and discussion section are missing required citation.</p> <p>7- Authors are advised to further discuss HPTLC peaks results and how these peaks are related to the herbal constituents.</p> <p>8- In the keywords, authors are advised to add the word "HPTLC".</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Authors are advised to improve writing quality and correct mistakes in punctuations.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq