

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_106951</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysis of Fatigue Fracture Accidents of Welded I-beam Bridges in Australia</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes. The research results have warning significance for preventing fatigue fracture of welded steel box girder bridge.</p> <p>2. No. The title of the article is suggested to be changed to "Analysis of Fatigue Fracture Accidents of Welded I-beam Bridges, Key Technology and Demolition method in Australia".</p> <p>3. No. The writing method of abstract does not meet the requirements of scientific paper, please modify.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> <p>Also,</p> <p>1. The conclusions are incomplete and unfocused.</p> <p>2. There are some mistakes on spelling or words, and the acronym CNC is not introduced.</p> <p>3. It is recommended to add necessary illustrations and improve writing skills.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Jianhui Yang</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Civil Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, China</b>