

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_106041</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Infrared thermography is applicable, as a complementary test, in differentiating the effectiveness of anti-inflammatories drugs.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes. The use of infrared thermography for detecting temperature has potential field in the medical practices.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. No.</p> <p>4. No.</p> <p>5. No.</p> <p>6. Additional reference: Might be helpful to reorganize the study description Sherman RA, Woerman AL, Karstetter KW. Comparative effectiveness of videothermography, contact thermography, and infrared beam thermography for scanning relative skin temperature. Journal of rehabilitation research and development. 1996 Oct 1;33:377-86.</p> <p>7. Additional Comments:</p> <p>a) The cause of edema and the effect of drug should be invariable with the IT to prove the effectiveness of the IT.</p> <p>b) The method to detect the effectiveness of an instrument was not portrayed in this study.</p> <p>c) Maybe the study was aimed to find different objective, to evaluate the effectiveness of IT would require different approach.</p> <p>d) Was this study aimed to differentiate the effect of drugs?</p> <p>e) Differential blood count cannot prove the study objectives.</p> <p>f) Discussion could not be correlated with the study result and analysis.</p> <p>g) From this study cannot conclude anything.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. No.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tania Bulbul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Center for Communicable Diseases, International Center for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh</b>