

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_106108
Title of the Manuscript:	The Study of Mean Value Theorems of K-analytic functions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article addresses a significant subject in the field of mathematics that has various applications in different areas of science. It has a suitable title and follows an appropriate structure. While the abstract is brief, it is comprehensive and effectively summarizes the work conducted in the article.</p> <p>The author claims to generalize a work mentioned in the references (reference No [2]). However, there is an issue raised regarding the accessibility of the referenced dissertation. It is important to point out that it's not mentioned how to access this work. It is clearly that providing access or proper citations is important for transparency and for other researchers to verify and build upon the referenced work.</p> <p>In summary, the article was very brief (5pages), appears to address an important mathematical topic with a suitable title, structure, and abstract. However, there is a concern about the accessibility of the reference [2], which should ideally be addressed to verify the originality and credibility of the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language in the text is acceptable and it conveys the information effectively. However, there are spelling and formatting issues in the text. In the following, some examples of the spelling and punctuation mistakes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page, line 2 : <...K-analytic functions. differential ...> should be <...K-analytic , functions. Differential ...> Page 1, line6 : after Thus a comma should be added. Page 2, line 9 : a full stop is missing. Page 2, line 22 : a comma after Thus is missing. Page 3, line 9, a commun instead of full stop, before where. Page 3, line , Noting instead of Notting. Page 4, line 18 : a comma after thus is missing. <p>In the following some of the improper formatting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> But differential and integral mean value theorems as ones in real analysis do not hold in complex functions. Likewise, this example can show the integral mean value theorem false in complex functions. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nour El Houda Bouzara
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology, Algeria