

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107045
Title of the Manuscript:	To study about seasonal abundance and ecological diversity of Asopinae Predatory Stink Bugs in Mid-Western Plain agro-Ecosystem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript scientifically important.</p> <p>- Title to reformulate - “Study of the ecological diversity of predatory Asopinae bugs in the agro-ecosystem of the Midwestern plains.” »</p> <p>- Put the verbs in the summary in the past perfect or present tense. - The author did not clearly present the problem that prompted this study. He only presented the objective, the methodology and the main results obtained.</p> <p><u>KEYWORDS</u> - Choose other keywords. - “Predatory bugs, Multiplication, Ecological diversity, Agro-ecosystem, Midwest. »</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The manuscript will be scientifically sound after incorporating reviewers' comments.</p> <p>- In total, 13 references were cited, of which 06 references were published after the year 2014 and 04 references were published before the year 2000.</p> <p><u>MATERIAL AND METHOD</u> - Specify the references or sources of the methods used for this study</p> <p><u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u> - You must always respect the instructions of the journal in which you publish (Authors' Guide). - Review the way of presenting the authors in the manuscript and harmonize it throughout the manuscript. - In the discussion, the author is called upon to first explain his results obtained and then compare them to the results obtained by other authors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Koudegnan Comlan Mawussi
Department, University & Country	University of Lomé, Togo