

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106749</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring the storage potential of groundnut var. TMV (Gn) 13 under Modified Atmospheric Storage conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscripts written are still needed to improve agricultural science and food security</li> <li>2. The title of the article is in accordance with the contents</li> <li>2. The article abstract is complete but it is necessary to state the factors that prompted this research</li> <li>3. The structure of the manuscript still needs additions and still needed to repair the manuscript</li> <li>4. The script is correct but needs to be completed again</li> <li>5.. Reference is s needs to be added five years from this year</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The quality of the writing is quite good</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This article still needs improvement if it is published in a journal and need to check again the similarity of theoretical sources and references</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Anang Susanto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Merdeka University, Indonesia</b>