Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJECC_106026**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of Propagation Media and Biofertilizers on Seedling Germination and Seedling Vigour in Aonla (Emblica officinalis Gaertn.)**
Type of the Article	**Research**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	The manuscript has important for agriculture and creation of new fields with studied culture of Aonla. Effect of soil Propagation Media and Biofertilizers on Seedling … in the abstract, it is necessary to briefly specify the methods and give more detailed results. Specify the directions of further use. Yes there are certain disadvantages in describing the methodology and results These references are quite relevant, but there are no references to the methodology for assessing the germination of plant seeds, only formulas, it is advisable to make references to the chemical composition of the plant and useful properties	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Low level of English	
Optional/General comments	Revision of sections is required: It is necessary to clarify the methodology. it is unclear the shelf life of the purchased seeds or the year of receipt, a more complete understanding of the climate of the region is needed, the manufacturer and the titer (cell content) are unclear for mushroom fertilizers. The volume of the plastic bag is not specified when bringing the experiments. Formulas for assessing germination are better done with the help of a special program. It would be convenient for potential readers to provide photos of plant seeds and experiments. The results describe the area of the leaf plates, but there is no mention in the methodology - how it was evaluated. There is also no methodology for evaluating the morphological and physiological parameters of seedlings. There is no indication of methods of statistical data processing. In the description of the experiments, it is better to indicate the dynamics of germination in the form of a graph, so it is easier to see the difference in the variants of the experiment.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Margarita Ishmuratova**
Department, University & Country	**Buketov University, Kazakhstan**