

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_106044
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical treatment of anal incontinence after cure of a post-partum recto vaginal fistula: a case report from the "A" surgery department of the Ibn Sina hospital in Rabat.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community, because this case was found as a problem after dystocic vaginal delivery which caused firstly a rectovaginal fistula and then anal incontinence.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract should illustrate the surgery with some details and the benefits of this surgery in the study case.</p> <p>The introduction needs more information about the case and the surgery from previous literatures and the references should be updated. Also, do not put each refence in a separate paragraph.</p> <p>Yes, but needs more details.</p> <p>The references not sufficient and not recent, we are in 2023 and most of references 1990s. The writing of the references should be followed the journal system and (et al in reference 8 should be written in details).</p> <p>The case report could be accepted if the author completes the previous comments, especially references (not accepted) because this surgery is very important, and the references are very old since the 1990s, the author should write the recent information about this surgery.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>After addition of new parts, it should be revised again.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madiha Rabie Mahmoud
Department, University & Country	University of Hail, Saudi Arabia