

## Original Research Article

# Microalgae Mediated CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration: A Potential Candidate for Sustainable Pollution Mitigation and Biomass Production

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Pollution control and mitigation are essential for the ecosystem's protection as well as making everyone's life safer and healthier. To eliminate pollutants, several pollution mitigation tactics and measures are used that generally include physical, chemical and biological methods. Biological approaches have been found to be more long-term, effective and environmentally friendly than the other two. To eliminate pollutants, technologies mostly rely on microbes such as bacteria, fungi, algae, and plants as well as their products such as enzymes and metabolic products. Algae can be cultivated utilizing basic components like CO<sub>2</sub>, sunlight and media because of their unique photosynthetic ability and low growth needs making them a possible pollution mitigator. Chemical carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) absorption is a method of lessening the greenhouse impact.

**Aims:** The purpose of this study was to examine the CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent (NaOH) affected *Spirulina sp.* medium culture to verify growth rate, CO<sub>2</sub> fixation ability and biomass production from *S. platensis* using the Zarrouk medium and a pressured CO<sub>2</sub> gas diffuser instead of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (as carbon source).

**Methodology:** The physical and chemical absorbent methods showed a less significant CO<sub>2</sub> fixing rate when compared to the control experiment. Expensive chemical absorbents are not required to fix CO<sub>2</sub> or produce significant amounts of biomass. It may be able to fix CO<sub>2</sub> without chemical absorbents, reducing pollution while producing high-nutrient biomass.

**Results:** The parameter differs between the CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH assay and the control assay which utilizes no chemical absorbent. *Spirulina sp.* can only tolerate an initial concentration of 0.5 g/L for CO<sub>2</sub>-absorbent *Spirulina sp.* cultivation. The first dose was given on the sixth day when the concentration was 0.5 g/L. 47g/L (1.64 mmol/L) of absorbent was added to the test. When the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>-NaOH > 0.94 g/L or 1.88 g/L

**Comment [Adeyinka 1]:** Delete this section. Abstract should start with the aim of the project. This is clearly stated in Author's Guideline.

**Comment [Adeyinka 2]:** using gaseous carbon dioxide as the carbon source. (Under Materials and Methods, author can mention the source of carbon dioxide. During discussion, author can comment on the advantage(s) of using gaseous carbon dioxide instead of sodium bicarbonate as the source of carbon).

**Comment [Adeyinka 3]:** You are discussing results under methodology. Review this, please. State your method briefly. Study the Journal's Author's Guideline and comply.

**Comment [Adeyinka 4]:** Author needs to rewrite the abstract by following the guidelines strictly. There shouldn't be more than 300 words in the abstract.

content, the cell growth rate was significantly reduced. The mean increase of 2.45% for biomass generated by the assay using control assay ( $2.09 \pm 0.11$  g) when compared to the NaOH ( $2.04 \pm 0.25$  g) was due to the larger number of growth cycles. The maximum productivity of the control assay is  $121 \pm 0.2$  mg/L, which is greater than the NaOH assay ( $118 \pm 0.3$ ) mg/L. The mean of 35.4% for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate increases by control assay 403 mg/L (4.03 g/L) compared to the NaOH 317 mg/L (3.17 g) due to the larger number of growth cycles. The final protein content ( $28.2 \pm 4.5$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH was lower than that normally found in the control assay ( $43.4 \pm 2.9$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>). The final carbohydrate content ( $19 \pm 2.5$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH was higher than that normally found in the control assay ( $11.5 \pm 1.2$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>).

**Conclusion:**Recent advances in CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and biomass production utilizing microalgae were compiled and analyzed with an emphasis on how adding the CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent affected *Spirulina sp.* growth kinetics and biomass composition in semi-continuous CO<sub>2</sub> addition cultivation. When compared to the chemical absorbent assay, the control assay's high growth and CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation rates provide a number of advantages.

**Keywords:** Pollution, CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, *Spirulina platensis*, Zarrouk media, Control assay.

## 1. Introduction

Rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels in the atmosphere have been designated as one of the century's major challenges. It increases global warming, which causes the melting of arctic ice, warming of seawater, intense heat waves that have impeded the agricultural sector and harmed human health, and regular droughts and desertification of regions [1]. Global warming occurs when carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other pollutants accumulate in the atmosphere, absorbing sunlight and solar radiation and burning off the earth's surface. Normally this radiation would escape into space but, this pollutant which can last for years to centuries in the atmosphere, traps the heat and causes the earth to get hotter. These heat-trapping pollutants, especially carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), water vapour, and synthetic fluorinated gases are known as greenhouse gases and their impact is called the greenhouse effect [2]. As CO<sub>2</sub> is the primary cause of the greenhouse effect and global warming, it is mandatory to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> from the earth to save the world. The capacity of microalgae cultures to capture CO<sub>2</sub> has been discovered in the ongoing research for viable CO<sub>2</sub>-capturing technology [3]. According to scientific principles, chemical absorption, physicochemical adsorption, membrane, cryogenics, CLC (Chemical Looping Combustion), and terrestrial sequestration are the primary categories of post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture [4]. Microalgae-based CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and energy/resource utilization, a viable and promising biological technique, has gotten a lot of attention in the recent two decades because of its techno-economic feasibility and environmental friendliness [5]. Several strategies are

Comment [Adeyinka 5]: heating up

Comment [Adeyinka 6]: Reference this claim.

Comment [Adeyinka 7]: Delete. The ongoing research is your work.

being used in the modern era to reduce and stabilize CO<sub>2</sub> levels. These strategies include renewable energy, chemical processes such as chemical absorption [6], and biological processes such as photosynthesis microalgae [7]. Bio-energy with carbon capture and storage has the potential to significantly reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. It is a combination of bio-energy production, carbon capture and storage, and the retention of carbon dioxide in geological reservoir [8]. Microalgae grow faster, have higher CO<sub>2</sub> fixation efficiency, and produce more high-value products, such as dietary supplements for humans, animals, and aquaculture, than terrestrial plants [9]. Temperature, pH, CO<sub>2</sub>, light, and inorganic salts, all of which are necessary for maximum biomass, may be monitored and regulated. CO<sub>2</sub> is fixed and converted to carbon-containing high-value compounds like carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins at the same time [10]. Biomass productivity reflects CO<sub>2</sub> fixation capacity. Many microalgae species have carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM), CCM acts as a growth promoter in microalgae and is thus preferred for carbon sequestration [11]. Biological CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation through microalgae has recently received significant attention among the various CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation strategies due to their higher CO<sub>2</sub> fixation capability and bioactive substances contained in their biomass [12].

**Comment [Adeyinka 8]:** photosynthesis by microalgae

Based on the research that has been conducted, it can be concluded that microalgae will undoubtedly be a cost-effective and powerful tool for CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation. The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> level on microalgae growth varies depending on the strain [13,14]. Several algal species have been found to fix CO<sub>2</sub> including *Sirulinaplantensis*, *Scenedesmusobliquus*, *Botryococcusbraunii*, *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Nannochloropsisoculate* [15,16]. *Spirulina* sp. is a photosynthetic filamentous cyano-bacterium that grows in water with a pH of around 10 [17,18]. Because of its high nutritional value and the presence of bio-compounds of economic importance, it is one of the most studied microalgae [19, 20]. *Spirulina* sp. has several advantages over other microalgae, including the ease with which the liquid medium can be recovered due to the trichome arrangement, the high nutritional value of biomass, the ability to adapt to outdoor systems and scale up, and CO<sub>2</sub> bio-fixation from flue gas [21, 22]. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and NaNO<sub>3</sub> have been used alone or in combination as a carbon source for *Spirulina* sp. cultivation [23, 24]. Because of the low concentration of dissolved carbon attained in the medium and probable pH changes caused by gas injection, the use of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> as a carbon source for the intense synthesis of microalgae biomass has been investigated. *Spirulina* biomass is produced utilizing CO<sub>2</sub> as a carbon source in a pressurized gas container containing a yeast and sugar mixture. On the other hand, these studies focus on the growth of this microalgae to maximize biomass production and CO<sub>2</sub> bio-fixation [25].

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Microorganism

The microorganism used for cultivation was the Cyanobacterium *Spirulina sp.* which was obtained from the Laboratory of Applied Botany Section of BCSIR. The strain was maintained in 2L sterilized Erlenmeyer flasks containing 1800 mL Zarrouk's medium at  $30\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ , pH 9.0 with continuous illumination which is shown in fig. 1(a) and 1(b).



**Fig. 1.** (a):Zarrouk medium without  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ (b)Extracting Supernatant added to the medium after autoclaving.

### 2.2 Culture Media and Experimental Design

Zarrouk's medium has successfully served as the standard medium (SM) for *Spirulina sp.* Culture. All constituents of Zarrouk's medium were autoclaved without bicarbonate salt, which was replaced by another carbon source, and used as the standard control medium. The specific component and quantity used per litre in the Zarrouk medium were as follows: All the reagents were table 1(a) macro element:  $\text{NaNO}_3$ , 4.5 g;  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , 0.9 g;  $\text{MgSO}_4\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.36 g;  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ , 1.8 g;  $\text{FeSO}_4\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.018 g; EDTA, 1.44 g;  $\text{CaCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  0.072 g; and table 1(b) trace metal solution, 1 mL. The 1 L of trace metal solution contains the following:  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ , 5.148 g;  $\text{MnCl}_2\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 3.258 g;  $\text{ZnSO}_4\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.3996 g;  $\text{CuSO}_4\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.1422 g; and  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4$ , 0.0318 g were applied.

**Table 1** Zarrouk medium for 1.8 L solution without  $\text{NaHCO}_3$

Chemical for Macronutrients (a)	Amount (g/L)	Chemical for Micronutrients (b)	Amount(g/L)
$\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$	.9g	$\text{H}_3\text{BO}_4$	5.148g
$\text{NaNO}_3$	4.5g	$\text{MnCl}_2\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	3.258g
$\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$	1.8g	$\text{ZnSO}_4\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	0.3996g
$\text{NaCl}$	1.8g	$\text{Na}_2\text{MO}\cdot\text{O4}$	0.03186g

MgSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O	0.36g	CuSO <sub>4</sub> ·5 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.1422g
FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O	0.018g		
CaCl <sub>2</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O	0.072g		
EDTA	0.144g		
Micronutrient solution added	1.8g		

To prevent precipitation, the medium without the carbon source was autoclaved for 30 min at 121 °C and then the carbon source was added. The composition of SM is shown in table 1. Sterilized Erlenmeyer flasks (2000 mL) containing 1800 mL of SM, were used as culture units that are shown in fig. 2.



**Fig. 2.** Experimental design (a) Sterilize the Erlenmeyer flask by Microwave Oven for *Spirulina* cultivation (b) Zarrouk's medium was autoclaved by an Autoclave Machine

**Comment [Adeyinka 9]:** The sterilization of a flask, and autoclaving a medium cannot constitute experimental design. They are the initial aspects of the design.

### 2.3 Supply of CO<sub>2</sub> to the Medium

1 Package of Dry Baker's Yeast per bottle, 2 Cups of Sugar per bottle, 1 Teaspoon of Baking Soda per bottle. Our first step is to activate the yeast by placing it and a tablespoon of sugar in a ½ cup of warm tap water (room temperature). Mix thoroughly until the water is slightly bubbly and wait 10 minutes. The yeast will awaken and begin feeding on the available sugar. While waiting for the yeast to activate, add enough warm water to fill the soda bottles ¾ of the way. Add the rest of the sugar and baking soda into each bottle and shake vigorously to mix. Once the yeast is activated, add it to its new, sugar-rich home, and tightly reseal each soda bottle. Over the course of hours, the yeast cells will continue to feed and divide. As a byproduct they create enough CO<sub>2</sub> that the excess gas will bleed into the line and into the media, feeding the cultivated macro-algal. How long they generate CO<sub>2</sub> is somewhat inconsistent but can range from 1½ to 3 weeks before the system needs fresh sugar and yeast.


**Comment [Adeyinka 10]:** Rewrite this section using the appropriate style of reporting: "Xg of Baker's yeast was added to Yg of baking powder in a Zml conical flask. The mixture was... etc., etc." You are reporting what you did. You cannot issue instructions to your readers!

### 2.4 CO<sub>2</sub> Absorbent

NaOH is a potential chemical absorbent that is widely used in CO<sub>2</sub>-capturing processes. To investigate the influence of NaOH on cell growth and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, 0–8.56 mmol<sup>-1</sup> (0–1.88 g/L) NaOH was added to the microalgal cultures in intervals of every 6 days.

### 2.5 Maintenance of Inoculums

The carbon source for *Spirulina sp.* was CO<sub>2</sub>, which replaced the sodium bicarbonate in the Zarrouk medium. Decanting the *Spirulina sp.* inoculums, extracting the supernatant (about 90% v v), and recovering the pellet were used to achieve this (10 percent v v<sup>-1</sup>), as shown in fig. 3.



**Fig. 3.** CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH added to specific one flask and the other controls.

During the light period, the cell pellet was re-suspended in Zarrouk medium without a carbon source and exposed to the new carbon source (pressurized gas containing a yeast and sugar mixture or CO<sub>2</sub> diffuser) at a daily specific flow rate of 0.12 mL<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>mL<sup>-1</sup><sub>medium</sub> d<sup>-1</sup> for 1 min h<sup>-1</sup> during the light period.

### 2.6 Cultivation Conditions

The experiments were performed in duplicate in a 2.0L Erlenmeyer flask with a working volume of 1.8L, in a semi-continuous mode and fed with CO<sub>2</sub>. NaOH was used as the chemical absorbent. Assays with and without (control assay) the additions of NaOH were performed. The assays were kept at 30°C in a growth room (12 hours) light/dark photoperiod. 40W daylight-type fluorescent bulbs provided illumination, resulting in a 41.6 μmol<sub>photons</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> illuminance. Compressed air injection with a specified flow rate of 0.05 vvm was used to stir the mixture, The experiments lasted 24 days and started at a cellular concentration of 0.20 g L<sup>-1</sup>. During the light phase, 2 min h<sup>-1</sup> of CO<sub>2</sub> was supplied (36mLCO<sub>2</sub>mL<sub>medium</sub> d<sup>-1</sup>).

**Comment [Adeyinka 11]:** Define the flasks clearly. A. Flask containing NaOH absorbent. B. Control

**Comment [Adeyinka 12]:** The pressurized gas contained yeast and sugar? How did the gas flow through hoses and valves carrying solids?

## 2.7 Analytical Determinations


Every 6-day intervals, the biomass content, pH, and alkalinity were measured. The samples were obtained for the same analyses when the biomass concentration reached the blend concentration (0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup>).

### 2.7.1. Biomass concentration

The biomass concentration was evaluated using a UV Spectrophotometer (Evolution 201) and a *Spirulina sp.* standard curve. The optical density of the *Spirulina sp.* inoculums was measured in a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) at 670 nm, and the optical density and dry weight biomass were correlated.

### 2.7.2. Alkalinity, pH and concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon

Medium alkalinity was determined by potentiometric titration and pH by pH meter (Hach, USA). These measurements were also used to calculate the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) using the equilibrium equations developed by Brune and Novak. For this calculation, it is assumed that all chemical species represented the dissolved total inorganic carbon in equilibrium (eq. (1)).



#### 2.7.2.1. Alkalinity Titration

The alkalinity of a sample is a measure of its capacity to neutralize acid. This is due to the presence of a search of weak acids. For alkalinity titration, here used a simple glassware burette of capacity of 50 ml along with the burette stands, a conical flask of capacity of 250 mL, a measuring cylinder of 100ml, a funnel beaker and two indicator reagents with, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Solution, methyl orange indicator, phenolphthalein indicator illustrate in fig. 4.

**Comment [Adeyinka 13]:** Reference this properly. See Authors Guidelines.

**Comment [Adeyinka 14]:** "... presence of a search of weak acids"? It should be: presence of weak acids.



Fig. 4. (a)  $H_2SO_4$  solution (b) Indicator (c) Solution with phenolphthalein indicator (d) Solution with methyl orange indicator

First, fill the 50 ml burette with strong acid  $H_2SO_4$  solution. As a matter of good practice took a few drops out so as to remove air bubbles. then measured 100 ml of the sample. Then note down the initial reading add 1-2 drops of phenolphthalein indicator and titrate it with the  $H_2SO_4$  solution noted in table 1 and table 2.

**Table 2 Alkalinity Measurement of the two cultural flasks**

Flask	Alkalinity measurement	Cycle 01	Cycle 02	Cycle 03	Cycle 04
01	Phenolphthalein Alkalinity	7mL	5mL	3.3 mL	1.8 mL
01	Total alkalinity	32 mL	34 mL	21 mL	19 mL
02	Phenolphthalein Alkalinity	5 mL	4 mL	2.8 mL	1.6 mL
02	Total alkalinity	38 mL	18 mL	15 mL	13 mL

**Table3 Identification and quantification of soluble ions**

Ions Measurement	Flask 01			
	Cycle 01	Cycle 02	Cycle 03	Cycle 04
$CO_3^{2-}$	14	10	6.6	3.6
$HCO_3^-$	18	24	7.8	11.8
$p^H$	6.1	5.2	7.2	7.5
Flask 02				

Ions Measurement	Cycle 01	Cycle 02	Cycle 03	Cycle 04
$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$	10	8	5.6	3.2
$\text{HCO}_3^-$	28	10	9.4	6.6
$\text{p}^{\text{H}}$	5.7	6.2	6.5	8.1

## 2.8 Cultivation Responses

The following characteristics were obtained for each development cycle from the cell growth outlines of *Spirulina* sp. Volumetric biomass productivity ( $P_X$ ,  $\text{mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ), generation time (tg, d), and  $\text{CO}_2$  bio-fixation rate ( $R_{\text{CO}_2}$ ,  $\text{mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ), were the highest values for each parameter as the cycles progressed.

### 2.8.1 Biomass volumetric productivity

$P_X = (X_t - X_0)/(t - t_0)$  was used to calculate biomass volumetric productivity, where  $X_t$  is the biomass concentration ( $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) at time  $t$  (d) and  $X_0$  is the biomass concentration ( $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) at time  $t_0$  (d).

### 2.8.2 Carbon dioxide bio-fixation rate

The  $\text{CO}_2$  fixation rate ( $R_{\text{CO}_2}$  as  $\text{g-CO}_2 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) of algal cells is computed based on the change in algal biomass when  $\text{CO}_2$  is the only carbon source available to microalgae:

$$R_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{\Delta X}{\Delta t} \cdot \text{fc} \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CO}_2}}{M_C}$$


Where  $\Delta X$  denotes the change in biomass concentration ( $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) during cultivation,  $\Delta t$ ;  $\text{fc}$  denotes the carbon fraction in biomass;  $M_{\text{CO}_2}$  and  $M_C$  denote the molar mass of  $\text{CO}_2$  and carbon atoms, respectively.

### 2.8.3 Carbon dioxide use efficiency

The  $\text{CO}_2$  use efficiency ( $E_{\text{CO}_2}$ ) was calculated according to  $E_{\text{CO}_2} = R_{\text{CO}_2} \cdot V_{\text{work}} / m \times 100$ , where  $R_{\text{CO}_2}$  was the daily  $\text{CO}_2$  bio-fixation rate ( $\text{mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ),  $V_{\text{work}}$  was the useful working volume of the photobioreactor (L), and  $m$  was the daily  $\text{CO}_2$  feed rate ( $\text{mg d}^{-1}$ ).

## 2.9 Biomass Recovery and Characterization

Each experiment's total biomass was recovered by centrifugation (Hitachi Himac CR-GIII, Tokyo, Japan) at 15,200g and 20°C for 15 minutes, re-suspended in distilled water, and centrifuged again under the same conditions. This procedure was repeated once more to improve nutrient removal. The biomass was then concentrated to 50 mL in a sterile recipient, frozen at 80°C, lyophilized, and stored at 20°C showed in fig. 5.



**Fig.5.** Recovery of biomass by Centrifuge

### 2.9.1 Protein concentration

The total proteins concentration of the *Spirulina* biomass was evaluated by colorimetric technique from heat and alkaline pretreatment of the microalgal biomass at each growth cycle and at the end of the assays

**Comment [Adeyinka 16]:** Reference this method.

### 2.9.2 Carbohydrate concentration

Using a standard glucose curve, the carbohydrate concentration in the microalgae biomass was measured using the Dubois phenol–sulfuric technique[26]

## 3. Result

The goal of this work was to observe how adding CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH affected the growth kinetics CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate and biomass composition of *Spirulina sp.* under semi-continuous cultivation. Experiments were designed to verify growth rate, CO<sub>2</sub> fixation ability, and biomass production from *S. platensis* utilizing the Zarrouk medium, which uses a pressurized CO<sub>2</sub> gas diffuser instead of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. This investigated parameter differs between the control assay and the CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH assay. presents and discusses the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent on biomass production, specifically their fixation rate in comparison to the control assay.

**Comment [Adeyinka 17]:** Punctuations?

### 3.1 Biomass Concentration Versus Concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> Absorbent NaOH

The experiments performed with  $X_0 = 0.2 \text{ gL}^{-1}$  were not able to tolerate a NaOH concentration. The first dose of NaOH  $1.64 \text{ mmolL}^{-1}$  is added to the culture medium when the initial concentration reaches  $0.5 \text{ g/L}$ . As a result, the results revealed that *Spirulina sp.* has tolerance only for the initial concentration of  $0.5 \text{ g/L}$  for *Spirulina sp.* cultivation with CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent showed in table 4.

**Table4**Growth parameters of *Spirulina sp.* with NaOH absorbent assay

Cycle (interval of 6 days)	Cell density (g/L)	Concentration of NaOH absorbent (mmolL <sup>-1</sup> )
01	0.5	1.64
02	1.5	3.26
03	0.9	4.92
04	0.59	8.56

On the sixth day, when the concentration was 0.5 g/L, the first dose was administered. The absorbent was added at a rate of 0.47g/L (1.64 mmolL<sup>-1</sup>) to the test. On the 12th day or in 2<sup>nd</sup> cycle, after the addition of chemical absorbent, the cell density reached its peak concentration, as shown in table 4.



**Fig. 6.** (a) The culture media in 1<sup>st</sup> days (b) 12<sup>th</sup> days (c) 24<sup>th</sup> days' final product with absorbent assay (d) with control assay

Fig.6(a), 6(b) shows the development of *Spirulina sp.* cells in the presence and absence of NaOH absorbent. Fig.7(c) and(d) show the final biomass product in the presence of NaOH and in the under-control assay. The fig. 8 depicts *Spirulina sp.* cell development in the presence of NaOH that has been absorbed by CO<sub>2</sub>. The cell density transitioned to a low productivity condition as the concentration of NaOH was increased, as shown in fig. 8. Biomass productivity would be at its peak if the concentration was maintained at 3.26 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> (0.94 g/L). Fig.6(a) and (b) shows the development of *Spirulina sp.* cells in the presence and absence of NaOH absorbent. Fig. 7 (c) and (d)

shows the final biomass product in the presence of NaOH and in the under-control assay. The fig. 8 depicts *Spirulina sp.* cell development in the presence of NaOH that has been absorbed by CO<sub>2</sub>. The cell density transitioned to a low productivity condition as the concentration of NaOH was increased, as shown in fig. 8. Biomass productivity would be at its peak if the concentration was maintained at 3.26 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> (0.94 g/L). When concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>-NaOH >0.94g/L, however, cell growth rate was significantly reduced[27]. The physiological adaptation of the cells produced by changes in nutritional circumstances, such as medium recycle, might impact the growth period.



**Fig.7.** The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent (NaOH concentration) on *Spirulina sp.* cell growth

**Comment [Adeyinka 18]:** A legend is needed to explain the bars. That is, define the two colours.

### 3.2 Control Assay

The control assay in table 5, demonstrated similar behavior to the assays with CO<sub>2</sub> absorbents added. All cultures tended to enter the stationary phase of growth between days 10 and 12 of the experiment. However, an excessive buildup of organic matter on the inner side surface of the Erlenmeyer flask was seen in both of the study's circumstances, with or without the inclusion of the chemical absorbent. The average biomass concentrations were obtained over the 13 days of the assay.

**Table5.** *Spirulina sp.* growth parameters with Control Assay


Time (days)	Biomass Concentration(g/L)
1	0.2
6	.56
12	1.54
18	1.39
24	0.95

### 3.3 Comparison Between NaOH Absorbent Assay and Control Assay in Biomass Concentration

*Spirulina sp.* cell growth in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>-absorbed NaOH is depicted in fig. 8. In the presence of 1.64 – 3.28 mmol/L CO<sub>2</sub>-NaOH, cell density reached approximately 1.5 mg/L in 12 days. Cell growth rate, on the other hand, decreased significantly when CO<sub>2</sub>-NaOH content was greater than 4.92 to 8.56 mmol/L. These results indicate that CO<sub>2</sub> in the absorbed state with NaOH can be fixed into the algal biomass in the range of 1.64- 3.28 mmol/L but that higher CO<sub>2</sub>-NaOH concentrations inhibit algal cell growth. In the case of the control assay fig.9, the growth rate did not inhibit as NaOH absorbent.



**Fig. 8** Concentration of biomass culture with CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent (NaOH)



**Fig. 9:** Concentration of biomass in control assay

### 3.4 Growth Rate of Biomass

The rate of cell growth has been calculated by the concentration of biomass increase or decrease along with the time intervals. Here the following equation is

$$\text{Grow Rate, } R = \frac{V_{\text{present}} - V_{\text{previous}}}{V_{\text{previous}}} \times 100$$


**Table 6** Comparison between NaOH assay and control assay in the growth rate of biomass

Cycle	Growth Rate(mg/L)	
	NaOH Absorbent Assay	Control Assay
01	174	124
02	204	209
03	93	167
04	48.9	138

The growth rates of the two assays were only slightly different, as seen in fig. 10 and fig. 11. Therefore, the mean increase of 2.45% for biomass generated by the assay using control assay ( $2.09 \pm 0.11$  g) when compared to the NaOH ( $2.04 \pm 0.25$  g) was due to the larger number of growth cycles.



**Fig. 10:** Growth rate of biomass in culture with NaOH



**Fig. 11:** Growth rate of biomass Control assay

### 3.5 Biomass Volumetric Productivity

Biomass volumetric productivity was calculated using the following equation


$$P_X = (X_t - X_0)/(t - t_0)$$

Where  $X_t$  is the biomass concentration ( $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) at time  $t$  (d) and  $X_0$  is the biomass concentration ( $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) at time  $t_0$  (d).

**Table 7.** Volumetric productivity of NaOH assay and Control assay

Time(days)	Biomass volumetric Productivity	
	NaOH – Assay(mg/L)	Control Assay(mg/L)
6	60±0.7	72±0.9
12	118±0.3	121±0.2
18	41.1±0.9	70±0.7
24	16.95±0.8	32.60±0.4

The volumetric productivity of biomass *Spirulina sp.* declined in both circumstances during the growth cycles the means the time intervals table 7, with mean values in the control assay was considerably greater ( $p < 0.001$ ) than the assay with NaOH absorbent assay. The maximum productivity of control assay is 121±0.2 mg/L, which is greater than NaOH assay (118±0.3) mg/L.



**Fig. 12** Volumetric productivity of control assay and absorbent assay

### 3.6 The Rate of CO<sub>2</sub> Fixation

The maximums of CO<sub>2</sub> bio-fixation rate ( $R_{CO_2}$ ) are significant factors to consider when assessing the potential for CO<sub>2</sub> removal. The CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate ( $R_{CO_2}$  as g-CO<sub>2</sub>L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) of algal cells is computed based on the change in algal biomass when CO<sub>2</sub> is the only carbon source available to microalgae:


$$R_{CO_2} = \frac{\Delta X}{\Delta t} f_c \frac{M_{CO_2}}{M_C}$$

Where  $\Delta X$  denotes the change in biomass concentration (g L<sup>-1</sup>) during cultivation,  $\Delta t$  denotes the time interval,  $f_c$  denotes the carbon fraction in biomass;  $M_{CO_2}$  and  $M_C$  denotes the molar mass of CO<sub>2</sub> and carbon atoms, respectively.

**Table 8.** Comparison between NaOH assay and control assay in rate of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation

Cycle	CO <sub>2</sub> fixation Rate(mg/L)	
	NaOH Assay	Control Assay
01	93.8	165.9
02	317.9	403.6
03	172	355.3
04	144	222.84

Throughout the experiment, the  $R_{CO_2}$  obtained in the NaOH-added assay was not higher than that obtained in the control assay table: 8. Therefore, the mean of 35.4% for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate increases by control assay 403 mg/L (4.03 g/L) fig.14, when compared to the NaOH 317 mg/L (3.17 g/L) was due to the larger number of growth cycles fig.13.



**Fig.13:** Rate of CO<sub>2</sub>fixation in culture with NaOH



**Fig. 14.**Rate of CO<sub>2</sub>fixation with Control Assay

### **3.7 Biomass Recovery and Characterization**

Each experiment's total biomass was recovered by centrifugation (Hitachi Himac CR-GIII, Japan) at 15,200g and 20°C for 15 minutes, resuspended in distilled water, and centrifuged again under the same conditions. This procedure was repeated once more to improve nutrient removal. The biomass was then concentrated to 50 mL in a sterile recipient, frozen at 80°C, lyophilized, and stored at 20°C until further analysis.

**Table9.**Concentration of Protein and Carbohydrate

Condition	Protein(%ww <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbohydrate(%ww <sup>-1</sup> )
With NaOH	28.2 ± 4.5 <sup>a</sup>	19 ± 2.4 <sup>b</sup>
Control assay	43.4 ± 2.9 <sup>a</sup>	11.5 ± 1.2 <sup>b</sup>

### 3.7.1 Protein concentration


The total proteins concentration of the *Spirulina sp.* biomass was evaluated by colorimetric technique [28] from heat and alkaline pretreatment of the microalgal biomass at each growth cycle and at the end of the assays. The protein concentration in the *Spirulina sp.* biomass produced with NaOH was higher than the control assay on 1st and 2nd growth cycles, and equal to protein content until the final cycles without addition of NaOH (5th cycle) table 9. The final protein content (28.2 ± 4.5 % ww<sup>-1</sup>) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH table 9 was lower than that normally found in control assay (43.4 ± 2.9 % ww<sup>-1</sup>), as shown in fig. 15.



**Fig.15** Comparison between Control Assay and CO<sub>2</sub>-absorbed NaOH assay in Protein concentration

### 3.7.2 Carbohydrate Concentration

The carbohydrate concentration in the microalgae biomass was measured using the Dubois phenol-sulfuric technique [29]. The carbohydrate concentration outline throughout the growth cycles fig. 16 in the assays using NaOH evidenced that *Spirulina sp.* biomass produced with NaOH was higher than that of that control assay. The final carbohydrate content (19 ± 2.5 % ww<sup>-1</sup>) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH table 9 was higher than that normally found in control assay (11.5 ± 1.2 % ww<sup>-1</sup>), as shown in fig. 16.



**Fig.16** Comparison between Control Assay and CO<sub>2</sub>-absorbed NaOH assay in Carbohydrate concentration.

#### 4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to observe how the CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH influenced *Spirulina* medium culture without the use of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. We employed a pressurized gas containing a yeast and sugar mixture. This parameter differs between the CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH assay and the control assay, which utilizes no chemical absorbent. As chemical absorption NaOH has been used, here it affects the growth of *Spirulina* cells. The physiological adaptation of the cells produced by changes in nutritional circumstances, such as medium recycle, might impact the growth period. The results demonstrated that *Spirulina sp.* can only tolerate an initial concentration of 0.5 g/L for CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent *Spirulina sp.* cultivation. The first dose was given on the sixth day, when the concentration was 0.5 g/L. 47g/L (1.64 mmol/L) of absorbent was added to the test. The cell density rose to its peak concentration after the addition of chemical absorbent on the 12th day as shown in fig.8. The concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH, affects the cell growth at a specific concentration. When the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>-NaOH >0.94g/L or 1.88 g/L content, however, cell growth rate was significantly reduced, as shown as fig. 8. Due to the shielding property of this organic material, this deposition may have impeded microalgae growth. The growth rates of the two assays were only slightly different, as seen in fig. 11 and fig. 12. Therefore, the mean increase of 2.45% for biomass generated by the assay using control assay ( $2.09 \pm 0.11$  g) when compared to the NaOH ( $2.04 \pm 0.25$  g) was due to the larger number of growth cycles. The maximum productivity of the control assay is  $121 \pm 0.2$  mg/L, which is greater than the NaOH assay ( $118 \pm 0.3$ ) mg/L. The rates of CO<sub>2</sub> bio-fixation ( $R_{CO_2}$ ) and CO<sub>2</sub> use efficiency ( $E_{CO_2}$ ) are critical parameters used to assess the potential for CO<sub>2</sub> removal. Throughout the experiment, the  $R_{CO_2}$  obtained in the control assay was greater than that obtained in the NaOH addition table 8. Therefore, the mean of 35.4% for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate

increases by control assay 403 mg/L(4.03 g/L)fig.14, when compared to the NaOH 317 mg/L(3.17 g) was due to the larger number of growth cycles fig.13. Temperature, medium composition, pH, light intensity, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration are some of the physicochemical parameters that influence CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. The protein concentration in the *Spirulina sp.* biomass produced with NaOH was higher than the control assay on 1st and 2nd growth cycle, and equal to protein content until the final cycles without the addition of NaOH (5th cycle) table9. The final protein content ( $28.2 \pm 4.5 \% \text{ ww}^{-1}$ ) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH table 9 was lower than that normally found in the control assay ( $43.4 \pm 2.9 \% \text{ ww}^{-1}$ ), as shown in fig. 15. The protein content of the biomass grown with MEA was lower than that normally found in *Spirulina sp.* (44.4, 6.9 percent w w<sup>-1</sup>) and LEB 18 biomass (60.8 4.8%)[30]and the final protein content remained within the range reported by Borges[31].The carbohydrate concentration outline throughout the growth cycles fig. 16 in the assays using NaOH evidenced that *Spirulina sp.* biomass produced with NaOH was higher than that of that control assay. The final carbohydrate content ( $19 \pm 2.5 \% \text{ ww}^{-1}$ ) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH table 9 was higher than that normally found in control assay ( $11.5 \pm 1.2 \% \text{ ww}^{-1}$ ). Increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels (above ambient levels) are required for successful microalgal growth and metabolism, and they are currently one of the most expensive aspects of microalgal production. As compared to the control experiment, the chemical absorbent did not show a significant CO<sub>2</sub> fixing rate. Chemical absorbents, which are expensive to provide, are not required to fix CO<sub>2</sub> or produce substantial volumes of biomass. It may be able to fix CO<sub>2</sub> without the need of chemical absorbents, reducing pollution while creating high-nutrient biomass at the same time.

## Conclusion

Recent advances in CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and biomass production utilizing microalgae were compiled and analyzed, with an emphasis on how adding the CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent affected the growth kinetics and biomass composition of *Spirulina sp.* in semi-continuous CO<sub>2</sub> addition cultivation. Furthermore, when compared to the chemical absorbent assay, the control assay's high growth and CO<sub>2</sub>-fixation rates provide a number of advantages. The CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent NaOH assay and the control assay which utilizes no chemical absorbent. *Spirulina sp.* can only tolerate an initial concentration of 0.5 g/L for CO<sub>2</sub>-absorbent *Spirulina sp.* cultivation. The first dose was given on the sixth day when the concentration was 0.5 g/L. 47g/L (1.64 mmol/L) of absorbent was added to the test. The concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>-NaOH > 0.94 g/L or 1.88 g/L content, the cell growth rate was significantly reduced. The mean increase of 2.45% for biomass generated by the assay using control assay ( $2.09 \pm 0.11 \text{ g}$ ) when compared to the NaOH ( $2.04 \pm 0.25 \text{ g}$ ) was due to the larger

**Comment [Adeyinka 19]:** Carbon dioxide or NaOH?

number of growth cycles. The maximum productivity of the control assay is  $121 \pm 0.2$  mg/L, which is greater than the NaOH assay ( $118 \pm 0.3$ ) mg/L. The mean of 35.4% for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate increases by control assay 403 mg/L (4.03 g/L) when compared to the NaOH 317 mg/L (3.17 g) was due to the larger number of growth cycles. The final protein content ( $28.2 \pm 4.5$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH was lower than that normally found in the control assay ( $43.4 \pm 2.9$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>). The final carbohydrate content ( $19 \pm 2.5$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>) in the biomass cultivated using NaOH was higher than that normally found in the control assay ( $11.5 \pm 1.2$  % ww<sup>-1</sup>). Chemical absorbents which are expensive to provide are not required to fix CO<sub>2</sub> or produce significant amounts of biomass.

## References

- [1] Lam MK, Lee KT, and Mohamed AR. Current status and challenges on microalgae-based carbon capture. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. 2012 Sep (1);10:456-69.
- [2] Global Warming 101 - Definition, Facts, Causes and Effects of Global Warming | NRDC. Accessed December 3, 2021. <https://www.nrdc.org/stories/global-warming-101>.
- [3] Basu S, Roy AS, Mohanty K, and Ghoshal AK. CO<sub>2</sub> bifixation and carbonic anhydrase activity in *Scenedesmus obliquus* SA1 cultivated in large scale open system. *Bioresource technology*. 2014 Jul (1);164:323-30.
- [4] Zhao B, Su Y, Tao W, Li L, and Peng Y. Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture by aqueous ammonia: A state-of-the-art review. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. 2012 Jul (1);9:355-71.
- [5] Usui N, and Ikenouchi M. The biological CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and utilization project by RITE(1) — Highly-effective photobioreactor system *Energy Convers Manag*. 1997;38:S487-S492. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904\(96\)00315-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(96)00315-9)
- [6] Ugwu CU, Aoyagi H, and Uchiyama H. Photobioreactors for mass cultivation of algae. *Bioresource technology*. 2008 Jul 1;99(10):4021-8.
- [7] Ferreira AF, Ribeiro LA, Batista AP, et al. A biorefinery from *Nannochloropsis* sp. microalga – Energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emission and economic analyses. *Bioresour Technol*. 2013;138:235-244. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.168>
- [8] Moreira D, and Pires JCM. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> capture by algae: Negative carbon dioxide emission path. *Bioresour Technol*. 2016;215:371-379. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.060>

- [9] Wang B, Li Y, Wu N, and Lan CQ. CO<sub>2</sub> bio-mitigation using microalgae. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2008;79(5):707-718. doi:10.1007/s00253-008-1518-y
- [10] Danquah MK, Gladman B, Moheimani N, and Forde GM. Microalgal growth characteristics and subsequent influence on dewatering efficiency. *Chem Eng J.* 2009;151(1):73-78. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.01.047
- [11] Ramanan R, Kannan K, Deshkar A, Yadav R, and Chakrabarti T. Enhanced algal CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration through calcite deposition by *Chlorella* sp. and *Spirulina platensis* in a mini-raceway pond. *Bioresour Technol.* 2010;101(8):2616-2622. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.10.061
- [12] Yoo C, Jun S-Y, Lee J-Y, Ahn C-Y, and Oh H-M. Selection of microalgae for lipid production under high levels of carbon dioxide. *Bioresour Technol.* 2010;101(1, Supplement):S71-S74. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.03.030
- [13] Duarte JH, de Moraes EG, and Radmann EM, and Costa JAV. Biological CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation from coal power plant by *Chlorella fusca* and *Spirulina* sp. *Bioresour Technol.* 2017;234:472-475. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.066
- [14] Alam, Md Ashraf, et al. "An Investigation on Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles." *Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry* 12.3 (2023): 1-10.
- [15] Singh S, Reviews PS-R and SE, 2014 undefined. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on algal growth: a review. Elsevier. Published online 2014. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.043
- [16] Chen C-Y, Kao P-C, Tsai C-J, Lee D-J, and Chang J-S. Engineering strategies for simultaneous enhancement of C-phycoerythrin production and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation with *Spirulina platensis*. *Bioresour Technol.* 2013;145:307-312. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.01.054
- [17] Yadav G, and Sen R. Microalgal green refinery concept for biosequestration of carbon-dioxide vis-à-vis wastewater remediation and bioenergy production: Recent technological advances in climate research. *J CO<sub>2</sub> Util.* 2017;17:188-206. doi:10.1016/j.jcou.2016.12.006
- [18] Vonshak A. *Spirulina: Growth, Physiology and Biochemistry. Spirulina Platensis Arthrospira.* Published online 2020:61-84. doi:10.1201/9781482272970-11
- [19] Belay, A. 2008. *Spirulina platensis (Arthrospira): production and quality assurance.* Gershwin, ME, Belay, A (Eds), *Spirulina Hum Nutr Heal Taylor Fr Portland*, pp 2–23.
- [20] Kuo C-M, Jian J-F, Sun Y-L, et al. An efficient Photobioreactors/Raceway circulating system combined with alkaline-CO<sub>2</sub> capturing medium for microalgal cultivation. *Bioresour Technol.* 2018;266:398-406. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.06.090

- [21]Alam, Ashraful, et al. "The Effect of Precursor Concentration on the Crystallinity Synchronization of Synthesized Copper Nanoparticles." *Journal of Crystal Growth* (2023): 127386.
- [22] Morais MG, Radmann EM, Andrade MR, Teixeira GG, and Bruschi LR, Costa JA. Pilot scale semicontinuous production of Spirulina biomass in southern Brazil. *Aquaculture*. 2009 Sep 1;294(1-2):60-4.
- [23]Alam, Md Ashraful, et al. "One-pot Low-Temperature Synthesis of High Crystalline Cu Nanoparticles." *Malaysian Journal of Science and Advanced Technology* (2023): 122-127.
- [24] Binaghi L, Del Borghi A, and Lodi A, Converti A, Del Borghi M. Batch and fed-batch uptake of carbon dioxide by *Spirulina platensis*. *Process Biochem*. 2003;38(9):1341-1346. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(03\)00003-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(03)00003-7)
- [25] Jones, Caroline A., Natalie Bell, and Selby Nimrod, eds. *Symbionts: Contemporary Artists and the Biosphere*. MIT Press, 2022.
- [26] DuBois, Michel, et al. "Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances." *Analytical chemistry* 28.3 (1956): 350-356.
- [27]Tszuki, Mikio, et al. "Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration during growth on fatty acid composition in microalgae." *Plant physiology* 93.3 (1990): 851-856.
- [28]Classics Lowry, O., et al. "Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent." *J Biol Chem* 193.1 (1951): 265-75.
- [29]DuBois, Michel, et al. "Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances." *Analytical chemistry* 28.3 (1956): 350-356.
- [30]Authors/Task Force Members, et al. "2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: The Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC)." *Europace* 17.11 (2015): 1601-1687.
- [31]Monegal, E. R., Rosset, F. M., Borges, J. L., & Borges, J. L. (1970). *Borges*. Seuil.