

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_105949
Title of the Manuscript:	Microalgae Mediated CO2 Sequestration: A Potential Candidate for Sustainable Pollution Mitigation and Biomass Production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is important.</p> <p>2. The title should be modified. The author used a process for the capture of carbon dioxide. Instead of the word "Candidate", the author should consider "Process" or "Method".</p> <p>3. My comments are on the manuscript. The abstract should be rewritten to conform to the Journal's guidelines.</p> <p>4. They are appropriate subject to corrections recommended on the manuscript.</p> <p>5. It is, subject to corrections.</p> <p>6. Yes. However, the author must study the guidelines on references.</p> <p>Additional comments: Editors should reach out to the academic community. Supervisors should go through manuscripts before they are sent to Editors. Under your guidelines, authors should be advised to run their papers through language apps before sending the papers to Editors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Fairly acceptable subject to corrections.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fasakin Adeyinka Olubunmi
Department, University & Country	Ekiti State University, Nigeria