

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_106083
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorating the Adverse Effects of Salinity on Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) by Applying Plant Growth Regulators
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes, but as a comment, it should be improved.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Yes, however, the author may take into account the comment. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? No, as comment.</p> <p>3. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes.</p> <p>4. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, but as a comment, it should be improved.</p> <p>5. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Review for the Ms_AJRAF_106083: Ameliorating the Adverse Effects of Salinity on Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) by Applying Plant Growth Regulators</p> <p>1) The MS was attracted by the improvement of physiology and seed weight by SA and GA at various marine (sea water) concentrations. However, the interpretation of the results has been called into question. So, please rearrange the results into four categories by a) (control) without stress. b. Mild stress (5dSm-1 salinity control, 5dSm +200SA, +400SA, +10GA, +20GA) c. Moderate stress (control 7 dSm-1, +200SA, +400SA, +10GA, +20GA / control 10 dSm-1, +200SA, +400SA, +10GA, +20GA) d. Severe stress (control 12.5 dSm-1, +200SA, +400SA, +10GA, +20GA) in all results tables and graphs.</p> <p>2) The results should expect the same level of saline stress effect. For example, the seed weight will be easier to explain and appear more interesting if these are compared within the above categories, such as even interesting in the 10, and 20 GA in the severe stress treatment, and so on.</p> <p>3) The abstract and conclusion should be written clearly and include the important specific measurement parameter that math in which one of treatment. Furthermore, it is recommended that the abstract and conclusion clearly summarize the above stress types.</p> <p>4) All the MS results should include a post-hoc statistic test.</p> <p>.....</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Please double-check.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Follow author guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suravoot Yooyongwech
Department, University & Country	Mahidol University, Thailand