

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_106083
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorating the Adverse Effects of Salinity on Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) by Applying Plant Growth Regulators
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes ! It is very useful and important BECAUSE Foliar application of SA and GA3 at different doses under different salinity conditions had positive effects related to the mitigation of salinity stress effect but the low concentration of SA @ 200 ppm and GA3 @ 10 ppm, respectively were found to ameliorate the adverse effects the salinity tolerance in chickpea under saline condition significantly at low salinity condition on the above parameters.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes subsection titles are also appropriate.</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes all recent references are used in the paper</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English need to be improved</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Good research</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharada Patil
Department, University & Country	Savitribai Phule Pune University, India