

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_106226
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARISON OF COMMERCIAL GREENHOUSE IRRIGATION AND CLIMATE CONTROL AUTOMATION SYSTEMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Personally, the article was a contribution to how technological greenhouses work, which I found interesting.</p> <p>2. Partially, I recommend adding the title of the place where the analysis was, in this case in Turkey.</p> <p>3. Part of the abstract can be omitted, since the text it includes would fit better in the introduction.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>They have to find a way to fill the empty spaces on the pages. Maybe move two figures on the same page or fill those spaces with paragraphs.</p> <p>The word figure is highlighted in blue.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miguel Armando López Beltrán
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Sinaloa, México