

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJHR_106226 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A COMPARISON OF COMMERCIAL GREENHOUSE IRRIGATION AND CLIMATE CONTROL AUTOMATION SYSTEMS |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, exactly</p> <p>The title needs to be revised to give sound message of the research.</p> <p>The abstract needs to be revised as the wording exceeding 250 words. Make it a bit short with a clear background, method and conclusion.</p> <p>I think it is appropriate, but the titles should be rewrite as to ensure whether to be write in small letters of capital letters. As most of the titles in small letters and some are in capital letters. Please revise.</p> <p>Yes, sure</p> <p>Yes,</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes, but not revision (minor and major).</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>If revise the titles, as in most of the cases it is written like sentences rather than a brief title. The titles should be revised for viewer interest to the specific portion.</p> <p>Avoid extra spacing throughout the manuscript, like mentioning the graph title below there is extra spacing which should be adjust as per format.</p> <p>The graphs are in image form, which is looking not clear in the sheet. If you have the original sheet data, so put that.</p> <p>The pictures need to be replaced with the clear one in case no raw data.</p> <p>Conclusion section is too high. Make it brief and conclusive one. Avoid extra explanation in this section.</p> <p>Check the references format, style, spacing. As the references are arranged very irregularly in the sheet.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Farhan Ahmad |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia |