

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_105676
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METAL CONCENTRATION IN SOME EDIBLE SPINACH (<i>Amaranthus hybridus</i>) IN SOUTHERN TARABA (IBI, WUKARI AND DONGA)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Uncertainty. There is no specific research problem mentioned. After reading all, the reason of choosing spinach, not other vegetables, is not known. The reasons of choosing to determine the study heavy metals were also not mentioned. The authors just mentioned their toxicities in general. They should describe whether the toxicities of these heavy metals were a health problem in their community or if there were industry using these heavy metals nearby the cultivating fields.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. No. As mentioned in the #1, no a specific research was mentioned. It needs a specific background of problem.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes but irrelevant.</p> <p>6. Many are old and should be replaced by newer ones.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need to be improved.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veeravan Lekskulchai
Department, University & Country	Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand