

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_106393
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Interplay of Sweet Pepper Varieties and Seedling Growing Techniques on Crop Productivity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.It is important to scientific for this paper, but it need to clear the experiment in material and methods part.</p> <p>2. the title is not suitable, it can be changed into the interplay effects of varieties and seedling breeding facility on plant growth and yield in sweet pepper.</p> <p>3.the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4.the subsections and manuscript is scientifically correct, but in field preparation and treatment allocation part, the seedling growing time should be supplement together with the seedling growing technique. And the fig.1 and fig.2 should choose the same figure as fig.3.</p> <p>5. Yes ,it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. the references are sufficient and most are recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications, and if the paper can be minor revision according suggestion it is can be accepted.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This paper can be accepted after minor revision.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chaoxing He
Department, University & Country	Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural sciences, China