

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_106063
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Activities of Aqueous and Hydroethanolic Extracts of Anogeissus leiocarpus on the In Vitro Growth of two Multiresistant Strains of Salmonella typhimurium Isolated from Broilers Chickens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are some grammar and language mistakes that should be corrected in the whole paper. - The abstract should have continued paragraphs as a united part without separation. - Any measuring unit should be written without space between it and the measuring number. That should be applied on the whole paper. - MATERIAL AND METHODS should be written as MATERIALS AND METHODS - mL should be corrected to ml. That should be applied on the whole paper. - µL should be corrected to µl. That should be applied on the whole paper. - RESULTATS ET DISCUSSION should be corrected to RESULTATS AND DISCUSSION - The cited references should be written by one of the following methods: if authors follow the arrangement of appearance of these references in the text thus they should be written by their arrangement numbers), and also these cited references should be written in the references according to their appearance arrangement number inside the text. In contrast, if authors follow the writing of these references as names of the authors inside the text thus these references should be written as follows(The first author's name et al.,(the year of the publication)). Also, these cited references should be written in the references in alphabetical arrangement. Finally, the authors should follow one of these methods required by the journal. That should be applied on the whole paper. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Metwally Mahmoud Abd EL-Aziz
Department, University & Country	The National Research Centre, Egypt