

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_106347
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and characterization of di-metallic zeolite Pt-Co composite as potential catalyst for reforming and hydro-sulphurization of gasoline
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.-This manuscript is a technical report of the results of the characterization of several catalysts, The authors report the results obtained with several apparatus, without major interpretation and I did not see generation of scientific knowledge. However, the paper can be interesting for the scientific community.</p> <p>2.- The title is suitable and represents the contents of the material.</p> <p>3.-The abstract is comprehensive and reflects the contents of the material.</p> <p>4- Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>5.- This paper does not have scientific contents, only description of the catalyst characterization, without major discussion or interpretation.</p> <p>6.- The references are OK</p> <p>I am attaching the file with comments in the paper, English needs revision.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1.- English needs revision, the text contains some details and issues with English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In spite of the material only reports thecnical results, without a deep interpretation and no scientific knowledge is generated, If this is acceptable and inside the scope of the review, I recommend the publication of this paper with minor revisions.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benito Serrano Rosales
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma de Zacatecas, Mexico