

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106989
Title of the Manuscript:	Weather influences on the gas exchanges of Neem Trees
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The article is important for science community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and the structure of manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>I think that the discussion is not clear. In section 3.1.4 no reference that could support the affirmations was cited. And the author, in section 3.1.5 only says: "The same was recorded in the present study, which was earlier reported by [13]".</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but, the authors didn't made soil analysis in order to prove that the climate was the unique parameter that was variated. In this way, the results observed could be affected by soil conditions.</p> <p>6. The references are not recent. There are only 4 references within the last five years.</p> <p>The first paragraph of the introduction needs a reference.</p> <p>The reference (5) is not cited correctly: [5]Koul O, Wahab S, editors. Neem: today and in the new millennium</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I'd like suggest to perform an english revision. There are many language mistake such as: absence of verbs and link words. The grammar of many phrases is wrong.</p> <p>Lower transpiration rate observed in the flowering phase affected the trees photosynthetic activity during that period – needs an English revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are a few examples of some smal corrections:</p> <p>veppu (Malayalam) - Veppu (Malayalam)</p> <p>soils including problem soils – specify what "problem soils" means.</p> <p>It is found mostly tropical - It is found mostly in tropical...</p> <p>would significantly affect - would be significantly affected.</p> <p>Factorial Randomized Block Design was used to analyze – analise</p> <p>Comparatively lesser DBH tress – trees</p> <p>The same results is reported by[15] – by [15]</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esther Maria Ferreira Lucas
Department, University & Country	Centro Federal de Educação tecnológica de Minas Gerais, Brazil