

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105840
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of different hybrids of Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) for growth and yield under Prayagraj Agro- climatic condition.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I went through an article titled "Performance of different hybrids of Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) for growth and yield under Prayagraj Agro-climatic condition" which is interesting, relevant and contains some news. I had no problem reviewing this article as I believe the authors have done the research that led to some results to add to the existing body of knowledge on the subject. More importantly, it will definitely guide further research in this field to evaluate and improve the performance of different tomato hybrids.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and contains the necessary sections.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The list of used literary sources is sufficient and, however, contains few new references.</p> <p>7. The purpose of the study should be clearly stated at the end of the literature review, not just in the abstract.</p> <p>8. In the methodology, it is necessary to indicate what was the system of fertilizing tomatoes and what fertilizers were used at the same time.</p> <p>9. It is necessary to indicate the name of the soil on which the research was carried out and to indicate its physico-chemical and agrochemical characteristics.</p> <p>10. It is necessary to indicate the methods and regulatory documents by means of which the studied indicators are determined.</p> <p>11. In the section "RESULTS AND THEIR DISCUSSION" when describing the obtained results, only the obtained values are given. There are practically no explanations or discussions. Therefore, the analysis of the obtained research results needs to be expanded and supplemented</p> <p>12. The conclusion presented in the article is too simple, it needs to be expanded, filled with the received data.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the article is suitable for scientific communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article presents the results of one-year studies obtained during the Rabi season of 2022. How appropriate is it to highlight the results of one-year research, or is it better to conduct research for another 1-2 years, and then draw conclusions. The editors of the journal must decide whether it is correct and permissible to publish the results of one-year studies. In our country, articles are published with the results of two or three years of research, based on which certain conclusions and recommendations can already be made. In my opinion, the article deserves to be published after revision.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olifir Yurii
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine