

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106652</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Morphology, Anatomy and Phytochemistry of <i>Cyrtosperma senegalense</i> (Schott) Engl. and <i>Alocasia macrorrhizos</i> L. (Araceae)</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It is very interesting article - medicinal plants are globally valuable sources of new drugs. With the increasing demand for herbal drugs, natural health products, and secondary metabolites of medicinal plants, the use of medicinal plants is growing rapidly throughout the world. The article raises a very important topic for all humanity: the investigations of new herbal medicine source from the Earth Flora.</p> <p>2. In my opinion, the title of the manuscript is absolutely appropriate for the submitted text and I have no alternative</p> <p>3. Yes, the article abstract is absolutely comprehensive</p> <p>4. The article structure is right for the phytochemical article in my mind</p> <p>5. As the scientific side, article is absolutely correct and understanding</p> <p><b>6. From the 33 references only 1 is younger than 5 years!!!!</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Should use the photos scale</p> <p>2. Should change the literature – very old</p> <p>3. Should be more information about plant harvest</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Olena Grechana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Zaporizhzhya State Medical and Pharmacy University, Ukraine</b>