

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106652</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Morphology, Anatomy and Phytochemistry of <i>Cyrtosperma senegalense</i> (Schott) Engl. and <i>Alocasia macrorrhizos</i> L. (Araceae)</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes, the work is scientifically important.  Very interesting work to establish patterns for these species.  Yes, the title is appropriate.  Yes, it is comprehensive.  Yes, the article is structured appropriately.  Whether the manuscript is scientifically correct.  Yes, the references sufficient and recent.  At the end of the introduction, you must add the objective of the work. in the epigraph of the plates replace this word with graphic.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, The quality of the language/English of the article is suitable for academic communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Publishable article with modification.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nelida Soria Rey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Pilar, Paraguay</b>