

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105856
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CROP-WATER ESSENTIALITY OF ALFALFA USING FAO-CROPWAT MODEL-8.0
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.- The manuscript is indeed important for the scientific community. Nevertheless, It is not clear the scientific apotation. I suggest highlighting the argument and reinforcing the findings with comparisons and perspectives. Need to use clear numbers in the results. The materials and methods section must be improved (equipment used, i.e., meteorological data, software). In general, all the manuscript needs to be highly improved</p> <p>2.- OK</p> <p>3.- The abstract needs to be more coherent and descriptive</p> <p>4.- ok</p> <p>5.- Need to be improved</p> <p>6.- Need improvement; most of them are all ten years old or even older. Need a deeper state-of-the-art documental research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No. Is full of grammatical mistakes. It needs to be highly improved.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edgar Arturo Chávez Urbiola
Department, University & Country	IPN Applied Science and Advanced Technology Research Center, National Polytechnic Institute, Mexico