

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_106522</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nonpharmacological Approach to Managing Atrial Fibrillation</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, because it provides other alternatives to conventional atrial fibrillation therapies, protocols and clinical practice guidelines could also be established that contribute to the recovery of patients.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, however, it requires precision that it is a review article</b></p> <p>3. <b>Complement some aspects indicated</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, they only need to be summarized in some sections</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Update the references you deem appropriate and adapt them to the corresponding format</b></p> <p><b>Specify the points that are made, such as in the methodology and other sections</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Could be improved</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Apply scientific writing standards to the article</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>José Ander Asenjo Alarcón</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Autonomous University of Chota, Peru</b>