

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_106007
Title of the Manuscript:	Tungiasis: Biology, Life Cycle, Epidemiology, Diagnosis, Prevention, and Treatment.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is an interesting manuscript with great importance.</p> <p>The title is suitable and expressive.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure are really appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, correct.</p> <p>More recent references should be used to enrich the article with recent updates.</p> <p>Please consider the following: Any abbreviation mentioned in the manuscript should be written the first time beside the word and then to be used throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, after considering some suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- (Abstract) This comprehensive review examines (it's better to replace examines with discusses)-leading to secondary infections, abscesses and ulcerations (put a comma before and)- and address long-term consequences (add the before long-term) 2- (Introduction) should be (1). There's no need to write 1.1. 3- The authors could use a more appropriate way to number each section. 4- It's better to avoid repeating the same sentence as (comprehending the pathogenesis- comprehending its biology and transmission dynamics) you can use synonyms instead. 5- Figure legend (2): Please correct the flea's name. 6- Make sure that the flea's name is written correctly with italics throughout the article (for example the first paragraph in 2.2.3.) 7- Item 2.2.3. The number 10 at the end of the second paragraph. What does it mean? 8- (stages of the disease) The S in every stage should be a capital letter. 9- Item 2.5.1. Please add (the) before (disposal of waste). 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Writing the manuscript with line numbers makes the reviewing process easier.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mennat-Elrahman Ahmed Fahmy
Department, University & Country	Theodor Bilharz Research Institute , Egypt