

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_106786
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Integrated Control Technology of Tension Stringing Equipment in Transmission Line Erection
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. A scientific community consists of scientists working in a particular field of science and, most importantly, of their relationships and interactions This type of words are to be include in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. Yes the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract of the article is not comprehensive the abstract has to be rewrite. Abstract should be more specific and it includes the following... 1) Outline of the implementation 2)Abstract looks like a review report Rewrite the abstract if possible</p> <p>4. Literature review section is missing in the article. There is no subsection in the manuscript. Result section part is missing.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct because the manuscript looks like opinion article.</p> <p>6. References are ok but some references are not the article to refer. Citations are missing in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. In manuscript most of the references are not cited.</p> <p>2. Result section is missing.</p> <p>3. No methodology is included.</p> <p>4. No comparison table is missing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M Pradeep
Department, University & Country	Shri Vishnu Engineering College for Women, India