

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JALSI_104979</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Serialized Barcode Printing Solution for Drug Traceability: An Architectural Design and Approach</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The abstract is long and NOT satisfactory. It should contain the following parts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The importance of or motivation for the research.</li> <li>ii. The issue/argument of the research.</li> <li>iii. The methodology.</li> <li>iv. The result/findings.</li> <li>v. The implications of the result/findings.</li> </ul> <p>2. Explain the motivation pin points to make them understandable for readers. It is bit confusing. Rewrite the motivation point if possible.</p> <p>3. It is recommend to add a detailed system process flow of the proposed methodology.</p> <p>4. Verify all equations if they are added correctly.</p> <p>5. Figure of proposed model need refinement try to sketch neatly.</p> <p>6. Authors should add an algorithm to explain the proposed work and explain it in the proposed work section extensively.</p> <p>7. Is the proposed system secure enough and sustainable to apply in distributed environment for enabling transparency? If yes, kindly approach with the below work and preferably include in the related work</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Traceability of counterfeit medicine supply chain through Blockchain. In <i>2019 11th international conference on communication systems &amp; networks (COMSNETS)</i> (pp. 568-570). IEEE.</li> <li>b. Towards design and implementation of security and privacy framework for internet of medical things (iomt) by leveraging blockchain and ipfs technology. <i>the Journal of Supercomputing</i>, 1-40.</li> <li>c. Scalable and secure access control policy for healthcare system using blockchain and enhanced Bell–LaPadula model. <i>Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing</i>, 12, 2321-2338.</li> <li>d. Distributed off-chain storage of patient diagnostic reports in healthcare system using IPFS and blockchain. In <i>2020 International conference on communication systems &amp; networks (COMSNETS)</i> (pp. 1-5). IEEE.</li> <li>e. Secure healthcare framework using blockchain and public key cryptography. <i>Blockchain Cybersecurity, Trust and Privacy</i>, 185-202.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Typos and grammatical mistakes must be taken care before proofread</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Randhir Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SRM University, India</b>