

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106708
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the phosphate solubilizing potential and acid-alkaline phosphatase activity of two multitrait heavy metal tolerant bacterial strains isolated in Northern Gujarat, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes, the topic is relevant to science. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. 4. The structure of the text and content are well designed, with quality writing. 5. Yes, I do. 6. Additional references could enrich the article	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Excellent article, a topic of great importance for the scientific community, very well written and outlined.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernanda Raghianti
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology, Brazil