

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_106708 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Exploring the phosphate solubilizing potential and acid-alkaline phosphatase activity of two multitrait heavy metal tolerant bacterial strains isolated in Northern Gujarat, India |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>This manuscript is good from a medical standpoint, as phosphorus is an important element for plants and is absorbed by the soil. It is the role of bacteria to transform phosphorus from insoluble elements in the soil into soluble elements that are absorbed by the plant.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Adding more recent and relevant references is recommended</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Linguistic refinement is recommended | |
| Optional/General comments | The introduction is short and needs more writing | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Abdulnabi Abulameer Matrood |
| Department, University & Country | Basrah University, Iraq |