

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106421
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of cotton residue incorporation with conservation tillage and integrated nutrient management practices on growth rates of Bt cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.) under rainfed conditions in Marathwada region of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The author(s) have tried to come up with a good work, but there is always a room for improvement. There is need to review available research and develop problem statement with reference to the available literature.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable but too long, may be limited between 15-20 words.</p> <p>The abstract is OK</p> <p>The structure of manuscript is inappropriate, information flow coherence between paragraphs should be maintained.</p> <p>Some extent.</p> <p>NO.</p> <p>The article needs a careful review to remove grammatical and typo errors. Moreover, the authors may review the following articles:</p> <p>https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Habibullah-Magsi/publication/278017033_Effect_of_different_tillage_methods_on_the_growth_development_yield_and_yield_components_of_bread_wheat/links/55784cbe08ae75363755afaa/Effe%20ct-of-different-tillage-methods-on-the-growth-development-yield-and-yield-components-of-bread-wheat.pdf</p> <p>https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Habibullah-Magsi/publication/366694925_Improve_the_Soil_Properties_under_Two_Different_Methods_ie_Tillage_Practices_and_Organic_Manuring_of_the_Wheat_Crop/links/649150d895bbbe0c6edbb80b/Improve-the-Soil-Properties-under-Two-Different-Methods-ie-Tillage-Practices-and-Organic-Manuring-of-the-Wheat-Crop.pdf</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>To some extent OK, but it needs a careful review to omit grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habibullah Magsi
Department, University & Country	Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan